arXiv:1112.5792v1 [math.PR] 25 Dec 2011

Backward Stochastic Variational Inequalities on Random Interval

Lucian Maticiuc [a,*,1], Aurel Răşcanu [a,b,2]

[a] Faculty of Mathematics, "Alexandru Ioan Cuza" University,
Carol I Blvd., no. 9, Iasi, 700506, Romania
[b] "Octav Mayer" Mathematics Institute of the Romanian Academy, Iasi branch,
Carol I Blvd., no. 8, Iasi, 700506, Romania

December 30, 2011

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to study, in the infinite dimensional framework, the existence and uniqueness for the solution of the following multivalued generalized backward stochastic differential equation, considered on a random, possibly infinite, time interval:

$$\begin{cases} -dY_t + \partial_y \Psi\left(t, Y_t\right) dQ_t \ni \Phi\left(t, Y_t, Z_t\right) dQ_t - Z_t dW_t,\ t \in \left[0, \tau\right], \\ Y_\tau = \eta, \end{cases}$$

where τ is a stopping time, Q is a progresivelly measurable increasing continuous stochastic process and $\partial_y \Psi$ is the subdifferential of the convex lower semicontinuous function $y \longmapsto \Psi\left(t, y\right)$.

Our results generalize those of E. Pardoux and A. Răşcanu (Stochastics 67, 1999) to the case in which the function Φ satisfies a local boundeness condition (instead of sublinear growth condition with respect to y) and also the results from Ph. Briand et al. (Stochastic Process. Appl. 108, 2003) by considering the multivalued equation.

As applications, we obtain from our main result applied for suitable convex functions, the existence for some backward stochastic partial differential equations with Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions.

AMS Classification subjects: 60H10, 93E03, 47J20, 49J40.

Keywords or phrases: Backward stochastic differential equations; Subdifferential operators; Stochastic variational inequalities; Stochastic partial differential equations.

---

[*] Corresponding author.

[1] The work of this author is supported by POSDRU/89/1.5/S/49944 project.

[2] The work of this author is supported by the IDEI Project, "Deterministic and stochastic systems with state constraints", code PN-II-ID-PCE-2011-3-0843.

E-mail addresses: lucianmaticiuc@yahoo.com (Lucian Maticiuc), aurel.rascanu@uaic.ro (Aurel Răşcanu)

1 Introduction

In this paper we are interested in the following generalized backward stochastic variational inequality (BSVI for short) considered in the Hilbert space framework:

$$\begin{cases} Y_t + \int_{t\wedge\tau}^{\tau} dK_s = \eta + \int_{t\wedge\tau}^{\tau} \left[F\left(s,Y_s,Z_s\right)ds + G\left(s,Y_s\right)dA_s\right] - \int_{t\wedge\tau}^{\tau} Z_s dW_s, \text{ a.s.,} \\ dK_t \in \partial\varphi\left(Y_t\right)dt + \partial\psi\left(Y_t\right)dA_t,\ \forall\, t\geq 0, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where $\{W_t : t \geq 0\}$ is a cylindrical Wiener process, $\partial\varphi$, $\partial\psi$ are the subdifferentials of a convex lower semicontinuous functions φ, ψ, $\{A_t : t \geq 0\}$ is a progressively measurable increasing continuous stochastic process, and τ is a stopping time.

In fact we will define and prove the existence of the solution for an equivalent form of (1):

$$\begin{cases} Y_t + \int_{t}^{\infty} dK_s = \eta + \int_{t}^{\infty} \Phi\left(s,Y_s,Z_s\right)dQ_s - \int_{t}^{\infty} Z_s dW_s, \text{ a.s.,} \\ dK_t \in \partial_y\Psi\left(t,Y_t\right)dQ_t,\ \forall\, t\geq 0, \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

with Q, Φ and Ψ adequately defined.

The study of the backward stochastic differential equations (BSDEs for short) in the finite dimensional case (equation of type (1) with A and φ equal to 0) was initiated by E. Pardoux and S. Peng in [13] (see also [14]). The authors have proved the existence and the uniqueness of the solution for the BSDE on fixed time interval, under the assumption of Lipschitz continuity of F with respect to y and z and square integrability of η and $F\left(t,0,0\right)$. The case of BSDEs on random time interval (possibly infinite), under weaker assumptions on the data, have been treated by R.W.R. Darling and E. Pardoux in [6], where it is obtained, as application, the existence of a continuous viscosity solution to the elliptic partial differential equations (PDEs) with Dirichlet boundary conditions.

The existence and uniqueness results for BSDEs with infinite time horizon, considered in the Hilbert spaces, were studied in [8] by M. Fuhrman and G. Tessitore, where F is still suppose to be Lipschitz continuous with respect to y and z.

The more general case of scalar BSDEs with one-sided reflection and associated optimal control problems was considered by N. El Karoui, C. Kapoudjian, E. Pardoux, S. Peng, M.C. Quenez in [7] and with two-sided reflection associated with stochastic game problem by J. Cvitanic and I. Karatzas [4].

When the obstacles are fixed, the reflected BSDE become a particular case of BSVI of type (1), by taking Ψ as convex indicator of the interval defined by obstacles. We must mention that the solution of a BSVI belongs to the domain of the operator $\partial\Psi$ and it is reflected at the boundary of this.

The standard work on BSVI in the finite dimensional case is that of E. Pardoux and A. Răşcanu [15], where it is proved the existence and uniqueness of the solution (Y,Z,K) for the BSVI (1) with $A\equiv 0$, under the following assumptions on F : monotonicity with respect to y (in the sense that $\langle y'-y, F(t,y',z)-F(t,y,z)\rangle \leq \alpha|y'-y|^2$), lipschitzianity with respect to z and a sublinear growth for $F\left(t,y,0\right)$. Moreover, it is shown that, unlike the forward case, the process K is absolute continuous with respect to dt. In [16] the same authors extend these results to the Hilbert spaces framework.

Our paper generalizes the existence and uniqueness results from [16] by considering random time interval $[0,\tau]$ and the Lebesgue-Stieltsjes integral terms, and by assuming a weaker boundedness condition for the generator Φ (instead of the sublinear growth), i.e.

$$\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_0^T \Phi_\rho^{\#}(s)ds\Big)^p < \infty, \text{ where } \Phi_\rho^{\#}(t) := \sup\nolimits_{|y|\leq\rho}|\Phi(t,y,0)|\,. \tag{3}$$

We mention that, since τ is a stopping time, the presence of the process A is justified by the possible applications of equation (1) in proving probabilistic interpretation for the solution of elliptic multivalued partial differential equations with Neumann boundary conditions on a domain from $\mathbb{R}^d$. The stochastic approach of the existence problem for finite dimensional multivalued parabolic PDEs, was considered by L. Maticiuc and A. Răşcanu in [10]. On the other hand, infinite dimensional generalization for parabolic PDEs (namely nonlinear Kolmogorov equations) was treated by M. Fuhrman and G. Tessitore in [9] and the corresponding nonlinear elliptic equations, i.e. nonlinear stationary Kolmogorov equations, in [8]. The next step and the subject of a forthcoming paper is the study of the infinite dimensional (parabolic and elliptic) Kolmogorov equations with state constraints.

Concerning the assumption (3), we recall that, in the case of finite dimensional BSDE, E. Pardoux in [12] has used a similar condition, in order to prove the existence of a solution in L^2. His result was generalized by Ph. Briand, B. Deylon, Y. Hu, E. Pardoux, L. Stoica in [3], where it is proved the existence in L^p of the solution for BSDEs considered both with fixed and random terminal time. We mention that the assumptions from our paper are, broadly speaking, similar to those of [3].

The article is organized as follows: in the next Section a brief summary of infinite dimensional stochastic integral and the assumptions are given. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of the existence and uniqueness of a strong solution for (2). In the fourth section it is introduced a new type of solution (called variational weak solution) and it is also proved the existence and uniqueness result. In Section 4 are obtained, as applications, the existence of the solution for various type of backward stochastic partial differential equations with boundary conditions. The Appendix contains, following [17], some results useful throughout the paper.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Infinite dimensional framework

In the beginning of this subsection we give a brief exposition of the stochastic integral with respect to a Wiener process defined on a Hilbert space. For a deeper discussion concerning the notion of cylindrical Wiener process and the construction of the stochastic integral we refer reader to [5].

We consider a complete probability space $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathbb{P})$, the set $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{P}} = \{A\in\mathcal{F} : \mathbb{P}(A)=0\}$, a right continuous and complete filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$, and two real separable Hilbert spaces H, H_1.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{S}_H^p[0,T]$, $p\geq 0$, the complete metric space of continuous progressively measurable stochastic process (p.m.s.p.) $X : \Omega \times [0,T] \to H$ with the metric given by

$$\rho_p(X,\tilde{X}) = \begin{cases} \Big(\mathbb{E} \sup\limits_{t\in[0,T]} |X_t - \tilde{X}_t|^p\Big)^{1\wedge 1/p} < \infty, \text{ if } p > 0, \\ \mathbb{E}\Big(1 \wedge \sup\limits_{t\in[0,T]} |X_t - \tilde{X}_t|\Big) < \infty, \text{ if } p = 0, \end{cases}$$

and by $\mathcal{S}_H^p$ the space of p.m.s.p. $X : \Omega \times [0,\infty) \to H$ such that, for all $T > 0$, the restriction $X|_{[0,T]} \in \mathcal{S}_H^p[0,T]$. To shorten notation, we continue to write $\mathcal{S}^p$ for $\mathcal{S}_H^p$.

Remark that $\mathcal{S}_H^p[0,T]$ is a Banach space for $p \geq 1$.

By $\mathrm{M}^p(\Omega \times [0,T]; H)$, $p \geq 1$, we denote the Banach space of the continuous stochastic processes M such that $\mathbb{E}(|M(t)|^p) < \infty$, $\forall t \in [0,T]$, $M(0) = 0$ a.s., and $\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_s}(M_t) = M_s$, a.s. for all $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$. The norm is defined by $\|M\|_{\mathrm{M}^p} = [\mathbb{E}(|M(T)|^p)]^{1/p}$. If $p > 1$, then $\mathrm{M}^p(\Omega \times [0,T]; H)$ is a closed linear subspace of $\mathcal{S}_H^p[0,T]$.

Let $W = \{W_t(a) : t \geq 0,\ a \in H_1\} \subset L^0(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a Gaussian family of real-valued random variables with zero mean and the covariance function given by

$$\mathbb{E}[W_t(a) W_s(b)] = (t \wedge s)\ \langle a,b\rangle_{H_1}, \quad s,t \geq 0,\ a,b \in H_1.$$

We call W a H_1-Wiener process if, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$(i) \quad \mathcal{F}_t^W := \sigma\{W_s(a) : s \in [0,t],\ a \in H_1\} \vee \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{P}} \subset \mathcal{F}_t\,,$$

$$(ii) \quad W_{t+h}(a) - W_t(a) \text{ is independent of } \mathcal{F}_t\,, \text{ for all } h > 0,\ a \in H_1.$$

Let $\{g_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ be an orthonormal and complete basis in H_1. We introduce the separable Hilbert space $L_2(H_1;H)$ of Hilbert–Schmidt operators from H_1 to H, i.e. the space of linear operators $Z : H_1 \to H$ such that

$$|Z|^2_{L_2(H_1;H)} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |Ze_i|_H^2 = \mathrm{Tr}\,(Z^*Z) < \infty.$$

It will cause no confusion if we use $|Z|$ to designate the norm in $L_2(H_1;H)$.

The sequence $W^i = \{W_t^i := W_t(g_i) : t \in [0,T]\},\ i \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$ defines is a family of real-valued Wiener processes mutually independent on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$.

If H_1 is finite dimensional space then we have the representation

$$W_t = \sum_i g_i W_t^i,\ t \geq 0,$$

but, in general case, this series does not converge in H_1, but rather in a larger space H_2 such that $H_1 \subset H_2$ with the injection $J : H_1 \to H_2$ being a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. Moreover, $W \in \mathrm{M}^2(\Omega \times [0,T]; H_2)$.

For $0 < T \leq \infty$, we will denote by $\Lambda^p_{L_2(H_1,H)}(0,T)$, $p \geq 0$, the space $L^p_{ad}(\Omega \times (0,T); L_2(H_1,H))$, i.e. the complete metric space of progressively measurable stochastic processes $Z : \Omega \times (0,T) \to L_2(H_1,H)$ with metric of convergence

$$d_p(Z,\tilde{Z}) = \begin{cases} \Big(\mathbb{E}\big(\displaystyle\int_0^T |Z_s - \tilde{Z}_s|^2 ds\big)^{p/2}\Big)^{1\wedge 1/p} < \infty, \text{ if } p > 0, \\ \mathbb{E}\Big(1 \wedge \big(\displaystyle\int_0^T |Z_s - \tilde{Z}_s|^2 ds\big)^{1/2}\Big) < \infty, \text{ if } p = 0. \end{cases}$$

The space $\Lambda^p_{L_2(H_1,H)}(0,T)$ is a Banach space for $p \geq 1$ with norm $\|Z\|_{\Lambda^p} = d_p(Z,0)$. From now on, for simplicity of notation, we write $\Lambda^p(0,T)$ instead of $\Lambda^p_{L_2(H_1,H)}(0,T)$ (when no confusion can arise).

Let us denote by Λ^p the space of measurable stochastic processes $X : \Omega \times [0,\infty) \to H$ such that, for all $T > 0$, the restriction $X|_{[0,T]} \in \Lambda^p(0,T)$.

For any $Z \in \Lambda^2$ let the stochastic integral,

$$\mathrm{I}(Z)(t) = \int_0^t Z_s dW_s := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t Z_s(g_i)\, dW_s(g_i),\ t \in [0,T],$$

where $\{g_i\}_i$ is an orthonormal basis in H_1.

Note that the introduced stochastic integral doesn't depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis on H_1.

By the standard localization procedure we can extend this integral as a linear continuous operator

$$\mathrm{I} : \Lambda^p(0,T) \to \mathcal{S}^p[0,T],\ p \geq 0,$$

and it has the following properties:

Proposition 1 *Let $Z \in \Lambda^p(0,T)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
&(i) \quad \mathbb{E}\,\mathrm{I}(Z)(t) = 0,\ \forall t \in [0,T],\ \textit{if } p \geq 1,\\
&(ii) \quad \mathbb{E}\,|\mathrm{I}(Z)(T)|^2 = \|Z\|^2_{\Lambda^2},\ \textit{if } p \geq 2,\\
&(iii) \quad \frac{1}{c_p}\|Z\|^p_{\Lambda^p} \leq \mathbb{E}\sup\nolimits_{t\in[0,T]}|\mathrm{I}(Z)(t)|^p \leq c_p\|Z\|^p_{\Lambda^p},\ \textit{if } p > 0,\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \textit{(Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality)},\\
&(iv) \quad \mathrm{I}(Z) \in \mathrm{M}^p(\Omega \times [0,T]; H),\ \textit{if } p \geq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

From now on we shall consider that the original filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is replaced by the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}^W_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ generated by the Wiener process. The following Hilbert space version of the martingale representation theorem, extended to a random interval, holds:

Proposition 2 *Let $\tau : \Omega \to [0,\infty]$ be a stopping time, $p > 1$ and $\eta : \Omega \to H$ be a $\mathcal{F}_\tau$-measurable random variable such that $\mathbb{E}\,|\eta|^p < \infty$. Then*
1. there exists a unique stochastic process $\zeta \in \Lambda^p(0,\infty)$ such that $\zeta_t = \mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau]}(t)\,\zeta_t$, $\forall t \geq 0$ and

$$\eta = \mathbb{E}\eta + \int_0^\tau \zeta_s dW_s,$$

or equivalently,
2. there exists a unique pair $(\xi,\zeta) \in \mathcal{S}^p \times \Lambda^p(0,\infty)$ such that

$$\xi_t = \eta - \int_{t\wedge\tau}^{\tau} \zeta_s dW_s,\ t \geq 0,\ a.s.$$

2.2 Assumptions

Let us consider the following BSVI:

$$\begin{cases} Y_t + \displaystyle\int_{t\wedge\tau}^{\tau} dK_s = \eta + \int_{t\wedge\tau}^{\tau} \left[F\left(s, Y_s, Z_s\right) ds + G\left(s, Y_s\right) dA_s\right] - \int_{t\wedge\tau}^{\tau} Z_s dW_s, \text{ a.s.,} \\ dK_t \in \partial\varphi\left(Y_t\right) dt + \partial\psi\left(Y_t\right) dA_t, \ \forall\, t \geq 0. \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

The next assumptions will be needed throughout the paper:

(A_1) *The random variable* $\tau : \Omega \to [0, \infty]$ *is a stopping time;*

(A_2) *The random variable* $\eta : \Omega \to H$ *is* $\mathcal{F}_\tau$*-measurable such that* $\mathbb{E}\left|\eta\right|^p < \infty$ *and the stochastic process* $(\xi, \zeta) \in \mathcal{S}^p \times \Lambda^p\left(0, \infty\right)$ *is the unique pair associated to* η *such that we have the martingale representation formula (see Proposition 2)*

$$\begin{cases} \xi_t = \eta - \displaystyle\int_t^{\infty} \zeta_s dW_s, \ t \geq 0, \ \textit{a.s.,} \\ \xi_t = \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t}\eta = \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{t\wedge\tau}}\eta \quad \textit{and} \quad \zeta_t = \mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau]}\left(t\right)\zeta_t; \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

(A_3) *The process* $\{A_t : t \geq 0\}$ *is a progressively measurable increasing continuous stochastic process such that* $A_0 = 0$,

$$Q_t\left(\omega\right) = t + A_t\left(\omega\right),$$

and $\{\alpha_t : t \geq 0\}$ *is a real positive p.m.s.p. (given by Radon-Nikodym's representation theorem) such that* $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ *and*

$$dt = \alpha_t dQ_t \quad \textit{and} \quad dA_t = \left(1 - \alpha_t\right) dQ_t\,;$$

(A_4) *The functions* $F : \Omega \times [0, \infty) \times H \times L_2\left(H_1, H\right) \to H$ *and* $G : \Omega \times [0, \infty) \times H \to H$ *are such that*

$$\begin{cases} F\left(\cdot, \cdot, y, z\right), \ G\left(\cdot, \cdot, y\right) \ \textit{are p.m.s.p., for all} \ \left(y, z\right) \in H \times L_2\left(H_1, H\right), \\ F\left(\omega, t, \cdot, \cdot\right), \ G\left(\omega, t, \cdot\right) \ \textit{are continuous functions a.e.}, \end{cases}$$

and $\mathbb{P}$*-a.s.,*

$$\int_0^T F_\rho^{\#}\left(s\right) ds + \int_0^T G_\rho^{\#}\left(s\right) dA_s < \infty, \quad \forall\, \rho, T \geq 0, \tag{6}$$

where

$$F_\rho^{\#}\left(\omega, s\right) := \sup\nolimits_{|y| \leq \rho} \left|F\left(\omega, s, y, 0\right)\right|, \quad G_\rho^{\#}\left(\omega, s\right) := \sup\nolimits_{|y| \leq \rho} \left|G\left(\omega, s, y\right)\right|\,; \tag{7}$$

(A_5) *Assume that there exist two p.m.s.p.* $\mu, \nu : \Omega \times [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ *such that*

$$\int_0^T |\mu_t|^2 dt < \infty \ \textit{and} \ \int_0^T |\nu_t|^2 dA_t < \infty, \ \textit{for all} \ T > 0, \ \mathbb{P}\textit{-a.s.,}$$

and there exists $\ell \geq 0$, such that, for all $y, y' \in H$, $z, z' \in L_2(H_1, H)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle y'-y, F(t,y',z)-F(t,y,z)\rangle \leq \mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau]}(t)\,\mu_t\,|y'-y|^2, \\
&\langle y'-y, G(t,y')-G(t,y)\rangle \leq \mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau]}(t)\,\nu_t\,|y'-y|^2, \\
&|F(t,y,z')-F(t,y,z)| \leq \mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau]}(t)\,\ell\,|z'-z|\ ;
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Let us introduce the function

$$\Phi(\omega,t,y,z) := \mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau(\omega)]}(t)\left[\alpha_t(\omega)\,F(\omega,t,y,z) + (1-\alpha_t(\omega))\,G(\omega,t,y)\right], \tag{9}$$

in which case (8) become

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle y'-y, \Phi(t,y',z)-\Phi(t,y,z)\rangle \leq \mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau]}(t)\left[\mu_t\alpha_t + \nu_t(1-\alpha_t)\right]|y'-y|^2, \\
&|\Phi(t,y,z')-\Phi(t,y,z)| \leq \mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau]}(t)\,\ell\alpha_t\,|z'-z|\ .
\end{aligned}$$

From now on, $p \geq 2$ and, for $a > 1$, let

$$\begin{aligned}
V_t &= \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau]}(s)\left[\,\left(\mu_s + \frac{a}{2}\ell^2\right)\alpha_s + \nu_s(1-\alpha_s)\,\right]dQ_s \\
&= \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau]}(s)\left[(\mu_s + \frac{a}{2}\ell^2)ds + \nu_s dA_s\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

We can give now some a priori estimates concerning the solution of (4).

Lemma 3 *Let (Y,Z), $(\tilde{Y},\tilde{Z}) \in \mathcal{S}^0[0,T] \times \Lambda^0(0,T)$. Under the assumptions (A_4-A_5) the following inequalities hold, in the sense of signed measures on $[0,\infty)$,*

$$\langle Y_s, \Phi(s,Y_s,Z_s)\,dQ_s\rangle \leq |Y_s|\,|\Phi(s,0,0)|\,dQ_s + |Y_s|^2 dV_s + \frac{1}{2a}|Z_s|^2\,ds \tag{11}$$

and

$$\langle Y_s-\tilde{Y}_s, \Phi(s,Y_s,Z_s)-\Phi(s,\tilde{Y}_s,\tilde{Z}_s)\rangle dQ_s \leq |Y_s-\tilde{Y}_s|^2 dV_s + \frac{1}{2a}|Z_s-\tilde{Z}_s|^2 ds. \tag{12}$$

Proof. The inequalities can be obtained by standard calculus (applying the monotonicity and Lipschitz property of function Φ). ■

(A_6) $\varphi, \psi : H \to [0,+\infty]$ *are proper convex lower semicontinuous (l.s.c.) functions such that* $\varphi(0) = \psi(0) = 0$ *(consequently* $0 \in \partial\varphi(0) \cap \partial\psi(0)$*) and*

$$\Psi(\omega,t,y) = \mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau(\omega)]}(t)\left[\alpha_t(\omega)\,\varphi(y) + (1-\alpha_t(\omega))\,\psi(y)\right];$$

We recall now that the multivalued subdifferential operator $\partial\varphi$ is the maximal monotone operator

$$\partial\varphi(y) := \{\hat{y} \in H : \langle \hat{y}, v-y\rangle + \varphi(y) \leq \varphi(v),\ \forall\ v \in H\}.$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Dom}(\varphi) = \{y \in H : \varphi(y) < \infty\}, \\
&\mathrm{Dom}(\partial\varphi) = \{y \in H : \partial\varphi(y) \neq \emptyset\} \subset \mathrm{Dom}(\varphi),
\end{aligned}$$

and by $(y,\hat{y})\in\partial\varphi$ we understand that $y\in Dom(\partial\varphi)$ and $\hat{y}\in\partial\varphi(y)$.

Recall that

$$\overline{\mathrm{Dom}\,(\varphi)}=\overline{\mathrm{Dom}\,(\partial\varphi)},\quad \mathrm{int}\,(\mathrm{Dom}\,(\varphi))=\mathrm{int}\,(\mathrm{Dom}\,(\partial\varphi))\,.$$

If K is a H-valued bounded variation stochastic process, A is a real increasing stochastic process and Y is a H-valued stochastic process such that $\int_0^T\varphi(Y_t)\,dA_t<\infty$, a.s. $\forall\,T\geq 0$, then the notation $dK_t\in\partial\varphi(Y_t)\,dA_t$, $\mathbb{P}$-a.e. means that

$$\int_t^s\langle y(r)-Y_r,dK_r\rangle+\int_t^s\varphi(Y_r)\,dA_r\leq\int_t^s\varphi(y(r))\,dA_r,\quad\forall y\in C([0,T];H)\,,\ \forall\,0\leq t\leq s. \tag{13}$$

Let $\varepsilon>0$ and the Moreau-Yosida regularization of φ :

$$\varphi_\varepsilon(y):=\inf\left\{\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}|y-v|^2+\varphi(v):v\in H\right\}, \tag{14}$$

which is a C^1 convex function.

We mention some properties (see H. Brézis [2], and E. Pardoux, A. Răşcanu [15] for the last one): for all $x,y\in H$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(a)\quad \varphi_\varepsilon(x)=\frac{\varepsilon}{2}|\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(x)|^2+\varphi(x-\varepsilon\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(x))\,,\\
&(b)\quad \nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(x)=\partial\varphi_\varepsilon(x)\in\partial\varphi(x-\varepsilon\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(x))\,,\\
&(c)\quad |\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(x)-\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(y)|\leq\frac{1}{\varepsilon}|x-y|\,,\\
&(d)\quad \langle\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(x)-\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(y),x-y\rangle\geq 0,\\
&(e)\quad \langle\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(x)-\nabla\varphi_\delta(y),x-y\rangle\geq-(\varepsilon+\delta)\langle\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(x),\nabla\varphi_\delta(y)\rangle\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

We introduce the *compatibility conditions* between φ,ψ and F,G (which have previously been used in [10]):

(A$_7$) *For all* $\varepsilon>0$, $t\geq 0$, $y\in H$, $z\in L_2(H_1,H)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(i)\quad \langle\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(y),\nabla\psi_\varepsilon(y)\rangle\geq 0,\\
&(ii)\quad \left\langle\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(y),G(t,y)+\nu_t^-y\right\rangle\leq|\nabla\psi_\varepsilon(y)|\left|G(t,y)+\nu_t^-y\right|,\ \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.},\\
&(iii)\quad \left\langle\nabla\psi_\varepsilon(y),F(t,y,z)+\mu_t^-y\right\rangle\leq|\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(y)|\left|F(t,y,z)+\mu_t^-y\right|,\ \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.},
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where $\mu^-=-\min\{\mu,0\}$ *and* $\nu^-=-\min\{\nu,0\}$.

Example 4 *Let* $H=\mathbb{R}$.

A. *Clearly, since* $\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon$ *and* $\nabla\psi_\varepsilon$ *are increasing monotone, we see that, if*

$$y\left(G(t,y)+\nu_t^-y\right)\leq 0\quad\text{and}\quad y\left(F(t,y,z)+\mu_t^-y\right)\leq 0,\ \forall\,t,y,z,$$

then compatibility assumptions (16) are satisfied.

B. If $\varphi,\psi:\mathbb{R}\to(-\infty,+\infty]$ *are the convex indicator functions*

$$\varphi(y)=\begin{cases}0, & \text{if } y\in[a,b],\\ +\infty, & \text{if } y\notin[a,b],\end{cases}\qquad \text{and}\quad \psi(y)=\begin{cases}0, & \text{if } y\in[c,d],\\ +\infty, & \text{if } y\notin[c,d],\end{cases}$$

where $a,b,c,d\in\mathbb{R}$ *are such that* $0\in[a,b]\cap[c,d]$ *(see the assumption* (A_6)*), then*

$$\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(y)=\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left[(y-b)^+-(a-y)^+\right]\quad \text{and}\quad \nabla\psi_\varepsilon(y)=\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left[(y-d)^+-(c-y)^+\right].$$

Since (A_7-i) *is fulfilled, the compatibility assumptions become*

$$\big(G(t,y)+\nu_t^-y\big)\geq 0,\ \text{for } y\leq a,\quad \big(G(t,y)+\nu_t^-y\big)\leq 0,\ \text{for } y\geq b,$$

and, respectively,

$$\big(F(t,y,z)+\mu_t^-y\big)\geq 0,\ \text{for } y\leq c,\quad \big(F(t,y,z)+\mu_t^-y\big)\leq 0,\ \text{for } y\geq d.$$

The last assumption is the following:

(A_8) *There exist the p.m.s.p.* $\tilde\mu,\tilde\nu:\Omega\times[0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$ *with* $\tilde\mu\geq\max\{\mu,\frac{1}{2}\mu\}$ *and* $\tilde\nu\geq\max\{\nu,\frac{1}{2}\nu\}$*, such that* $\int_0^T\big(|\tilde\mu_t|^2dt+|\tilde\nu_t|^2dA_t\big)<\infty,\ \forall\, T>0,\ \mathbb{P}$*-a.s. and, using the notation*

$$\tilde V_t=\int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau]}(s)\Big[\big(\tilde\mu_s+\frac{a}{2}\ell^2\big)ds+\tilde\nu_s dA_s\Big],\tag{17}$$

we suppose the following compatibility conditions between η *and* φ,ψ,F *and* G:

$$\begin{aligned}&(i)\quad \mathbb{E}\big[e^{2\sup_{s\in[0,\tau]}\tilde V_s}\,(\varphi(\eta)+\psi(\eta))\big]<\infty,\\ &(ii)\quad \mathbb{E}\big(e^{p\sup_{s\in[0,\tau]}\tilde V_s}\,|\eta|^p\big)+\mathbb{E}\left(Q_T^p\right)<\infty,\ \forall\, T>0,\\ &(iii)\quad \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^\tau e^{2\tilde V_s}\Psi(s,\xi_s)\,dQ_s\right)^{p/2}+\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^\tau e^{\tilde V_s}\left|\Phi(s,\xi_s,\zeta_s)\right|dQ_s\right)^p<\infty,\end{aligned}\tag{18}$$

and the locally boundedness conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}&(iv)\quad \mathbb{E}\Big(\int_0^T e^{\tilde V_s}\sup\nolimits_{|y|\leq\rho}\big|F\big(s,e^{-\tilde V_s}y,0\big)-\tilde\mu_s y\big|ds\Big)^p\\ &\qquad +\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_0^T e^{\tilde V_s}\sup\nolimits_{|y|\leq\rho}\big|G\big(s,e^{-\tilde V_s}y\big)-\tilde\nu_s y\big|dA_s\Big)^p<\infty,\ \forall\, T,\rho>0,\\ &(v)\quad \mathbb{E}\int_0^\tau e^{2\tilde V_s}\sup\nolimits_{|y|\leq\rho}\big|F\big(s,e^{-\tilde V_s}y,0\big)\big|^2ds\\ &\qquad +\mathbb{E}\int_0^\tau e^{2\tilde V_s}\sup\nolimits_{|y|\leq\rho}\big|G\big(s,e^{-\tilde V_s}y\big)\big|^2dA_s<\infty,\ \forall\,\rho>0.\end{aligned}\tag{19}$$

3 Main result: the existence of the strong solution

Using the definition of Q, Φ and Ψ (given in the previous assumptions) we can rewrite (4) in the form

$$\begin{cases} Y_t + \displaystyle\int_t^\infty dK_s = \eta + \int_t^\infty \Phi(s, Y_s, Z_s)\, dQ_s - \int_t^\infty Z_s dW_s, \text{ a.s.}, \\ dK_t \in \partial_y \Psi(t, Y_t)\, dQ_t, \ \forall\, t \geq 0. \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

Definition 5 *We call $(Y_t, Z_t, U_t)_{t\geq 0}$ a solution of (20) if $(Y, Z) \in S^0 \times \Lambda^0$, $(Y_t, Z_t) = (\xi_t, \zeta_t) = (\eta, 0)$ for $t > \tau$ and*

$$U_t = \mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau]}(t) \left[\alpha_t U_t^1 + (1 - \alpha_t) U_t^2\right], \text{ where } U^1 \text{ and } U^2 \text{ are p.m.s.p.},$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} &(i) \quad \int_0^T (|\Phi(s, Y_s, Z_s)| + |U_s|)\, dQ_s < \infty, \ \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s., for all } T \geq 0, \\ &(ii) \quad U_t^1 \in \partial\varphi(Y_t), \ d\mathbb{P} \otimes dt\text{- a.e. }, \\ &(iii) \quad U_t^2 \in \partial\psi(Y_t), \ d\mathbb{P} \otimes dA_t \text{ - a.e.}, \\ &(iv) \quad e^{2V_T} |Y_T - \xi_T|^2 + \int_T^\infty e^{2V_s} |Z_s - \zeta_s|^2 ds \ \xrightarrow{prob.} 0, \text{ as } T \to \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

and, for $t \in [0, T]$,

$$(v) \quad Y_t + \int_t^T U_s dQ_s = Y_T + \int_t^T \Phi(s, Y_s, Z_s)\, dQ_s - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \ \text{a.s.} \tag{22}$$

Remark 6 *If there exists a constant C such that $\sup_{t\in[0,\tau]} |V_t(\omega)| \leq C$, $\mathbb{P} - a.s.\ \omega \in \Omega$, then the condition (21-iv) is equivalent to*

$$|Y_T - \eta|^2 + \int_T^\infty |Z_s|^2 ds \ \xrightarrow{prob.} 0, \text{ as } T \to \infty. \tag{23}$$

We can now formulate the main result. In order to obtain the absolute continuity with respect to dQ_t of the process K (as in Definition 5) it is necessary to impose a supplementary assumption:

(A_9) There exists $R_0 > 0$ such that, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t}(e^{2\sup_{s\geq t} \tilde{V}_s} |\eta|^2) + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t}\Big(\int_{t\wedge\tau}^\tau e^{\tilde{V}_s} |\Phi(s, 0, 0)|\, dQ_s\Big)^2 \leq R_0, \text{ a.s.} \tag{24}$$

Theorem 7 *Let the assumptions (A_1–A_9) be satisfied. Then the backward stochastic variational inequality (20) has a unique solution (Y, Z, U) such that*

$$\mathbb{E} \sup\nolimits_{t\in[0,T]} e^{p\tilde{V}_t} |Y_t|^p < \infty, \text{ for all } T \geq 0, \tag{25}$$

and

$$Y_t+\int_t^T U_s dQ_s = Y_T+\int_t^T \Phi\left(s,Y_s,Z_s\right)dQ_s-\int_t^T Z_s dW_s,\ \textit{a.s.} \tag{26}$$

Moreover, for all $2\le q\le p$*, there exists a constant* $C=C\left(a,q\right)>0$ *such that, for all* $t\ge 0$*,* $\mathbb{P}$*-a.s.*

$$\begin{aligned}
&(a)\quad e^{q\tilde V_t}|Y_t|^q+\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t}\Big(\int_t^T e^{2\tilde V_s}|Z_s|^2ds\Big)^{q/2}\\
&\qquad\le C\,\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t}\Big[e^{q\sup_{s\ge t}\tilde V_s}|\eta|^q+\Big(\int_t^\infty e^{\tilde V_s}|\Phi\left(s,0,0\right)|dQ_s\Big)^q\Big],\\
&(b)\quad e^{q\tilde V_t}\left|Y_t-\xi_t\right|^q+\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t}\left(\int_t^\infty e^{2\tilde V_s}\left|Z_s-\zeta_s\right|^2ds\right)^{q/2}\\
&\qquad\le C\,\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t}\Big[\Big(\int_t^\infty e^{2\tilde V_s}\Psi\left(s,\xi_s\right)dQ_s\Big)^{q/2}+\Big(\int_t^\infty e^{\tilde V_s}\left|\Phi\left(s,\xi_s,\zeta_s\right)\right|dQ_s\Big)^q\Big],\\
&(c)\quad \mathbb{E}\big[e^{2\tilde V_t}\left(\varphi(Y_t)+\psi(Y_t)\right)\big]\le\mathbb{E}\big[e^{2\tilde V_\infty}\left(\varphi(\eta)+\psi(\eta)\right)\big],\\
&(d)\quad \lim_{T\to\infty}\ \mathbb{E}\Big[e^{p\tilde V_T}\left|Y_T-\xi_T\right|^p+\Big(\int_T^\infty e^{2\tilde V_s}\left|Z_s-\zeta_s\right|^2ds\Big)^{p/2}\Big]=0,
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

and

$$(e)\quad \mathbb{E}\int_0^\tau\Big[e^{2\tilde V_s}\left(|U_s^1|^2ds+|U_s^2|^2dA_s\right)\Big]<\infty. \tag{28}$$

Proof. If (Y,Z), $(\bar Y,\bar Z)$ are two solutions, in the sense of Definition 5, that satisfy (25), then

$$\mathbb{E}\sup\nolimits_{t\in[0,T]}e^{p\tilde V_s}|Y_s-\bar Y_s|^p<\infty,$$

From (12), satisfied by the process $Y_s-\bar Y_s$, we conclude that

$$\langle Y_s-\bar Y_s,\Phi\left(s,Y_s,Z_s\right)-\Phi(s,\bar Y_s,\bar Z_s)-U_s+\bar U_s\rangle dQ_s\le|Y_s-\bar Y_s|^2d\tilde V_s+\frac{1}{2a}|Z_s-\tilde Z_s|^2ds,$$

since

$$\left\langle Y_s-\bar Y_s,U_s-\bar U_s\right\rangle\ge 0,\ \text{for } U_s\in\partial_y\Psi(s,Y_s)\text{ and }\bar U_s\in\partial_y\Psi(s,\bar Y_s),$$

and

$$dV_s\le d\tilde V_s\ \text{on}\ \left[0,\tau\right].$$

Applying Proposition 16, it follows that there exists $C=C\left(a,p\right)>0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\sup\nolimits_{s\in[0,T]}e^{p\tilde V_s}|Y_s-\bar Y_s|^p+\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T e^{2\tilde V_s}|Z_s-\bar Z_s|^2ds\right)^{p/2}\le C\,\mathbb{E}\left(e^{p\tilde V_T}|Y_T-\bar Y_T|^p\right)\xrightarrow[T\to\infty]{}0,$$

and the uniqueness is proved.

The proof of the existence will be split into several steps.

A. *Approximating problem.*

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\varepsilon = 1/n$. We consider the approximating stochastic equation

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t^n + \int_t^\infty \mathbb{1}_{[0,n]}(s) \nabla_y \Psi^n(s, Y_s^n) dQ_s = \eta + \int_t^\infty \mathbb{1}_{[0,n]}(s) \Phi(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) dQ_s \\ - \int_t^\infty Z_s^n dW_s, \ \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}, \forall\, t \geq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

or equivalent, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.,

$$\begin{cases} Y_t^n + \displaystyle\int_t^n \nabla_y \Psi^n(s, Y_s^n) dQ_s = \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_n} \tilde{\eta} + \int_t^n \Phi(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) dQ_s - \int_t^n Z_s^n dW_s, \\ \hfill \forall\, t \in [0,n], \\ (Y_t^n, Z_t^n) = (\xi_t, \zeta_t), \ \forall\, t > n, \end{cases} \tag{30}$$

with

$$\Psi^n(\omega, s, y) = \mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau(\omega)]}(s) \left[\alpha_s(\omega) \varphi_{1/n}(y) + (1 - \alpha_s(\omega)) \psi_{1/n}(y)\right].$$

We notice that

$$\Phi_1(t, y, z) := \mathbb{1}_{[0,n]}(t) \left(\Phi(t, y, z) - \nabla_y \Psi^n(t, y)\right)$$

satisfies the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y' - y, \Phi_1(t, y', z) - \Phi_1(t, y, z) \rangle &\leq \mathbb{1}_{[0,n\wedge\tau]}(t) \ [(\mu_t - n)\alpha_t + (\nu_t - n)(1 - \alpha_t)] |y' - y|^2 \\ &\leq \mathbb{1}_{[0,n\wedge\tau]}(t) \ [\tilde{\mu}_t \alpha_t + \tilde{\nu}_t (1 - \alpha_t)] |y' - y|^2, \\ |\Phi_1(t, y, z') - \Phi_1(t, y, z)| &\leq \mathbb{1}_{[0,n\wedge\tau]}(t) \ \ell\alpha_t \ |z' - z|, \end{aligned}$$

since $\mu_s \leq \tilde{\mu}_s$ and $\nu_s \leq \tilde{\nu}_s$ on $[0, \infty)$.

The corresponding process V_t^1 (see definitions (10) and (17)) is given by

$$V_t^1 = \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{[0,n\wedge\tau]}(s) \Big[\big(\tilde{\mu}_s + \frac{a}{2}\ell^2\big) ds + \tilde{\nu}_s dA_s\Big].$$

Obviously, $V_t^1 = \tilde{V}_{t\wedge n}$, $\forall t \geq 0$.

Applying Proposition 18 from the Appendix, with Φ replaced with Φ_1, we deduce that equation (30) has a unique solution (Y^n, Z^n) such that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup\nolimits_{t\in[0,n]} e^{p\tilde{V}_t} |Y_t^n|^p + \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^n e^{2\tilde{V}_s} |Z_s^n|^2 ds\right)^{p/2} < \infty,$$

and, using (77), can be prove that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup\nolimits_{t\in[0,T]} e^{p\tilde{V}_t} |Y_t^n|^p + \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T e^{2\tilde{V}_s} |Z_s^n|^2 ds\right)^{p/2} < \infty, \text{ for all } T \geq 0.$$

B. *Boundedness of Y^n and Z^n.*

Since φ^n, ψ^n are convex functions and it is assumed that $\varphi(0) = \psi(0) = 0$, we see that $\langle \nabla_y \Psi^n(t, y), y \rangle \geq 0$, $\forall y \in H$, and therefore (11) becomes

$$\big\langle Y_t^n, \Phi_1(t, Y_t^n, Z_t^n) dQ_t \big\rangle \leq \mathbb{1}_{[0,n]}(t) \ |Y_t^n| |\Phi(t, 0, 0)| dQ_t + |Y_t^n|^2 dV_t^1 + \frac{1}{2a} |Z_t^n|^2 dt.$$

Equation (29) can be written, for any $T \geq 0$, in the form

$$Y_t^n = Y_T^n + \int_t^T \Phi_1(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) dQ_s - \int_t^T Z_s^n dW_s, \ \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}, \forall\, t \in [0, T]\,.$$

Applying Proposition 16 we deduce that, for all $q \in [2, p]$, there exists a constant $C = C\,(a, q) > 0$ such that such that, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., for all $0 \leq t \leq T \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \sup\nolimits_{s\in[t,T]} e^{q\tilde{V}_s} |Y_s^n|^q + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \Big(\int_t^T e^{2\tilde{V}_s} |Z_s^n|^2 ds \Big)^{q/2} \\
&\quad \leq C\, \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \Big[e^{q\tilde{V}_T} |Y_T^n|^q + \Big(\int_t^T \mathbb{1}_{[0,n]}\,(s)\, e^{\tilde{V}_s} |\Phi\,(s, 0, 0)\,| dQ_s \Big)^q \Big] \\
&\quad \leq C\, \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \Big[e^{q \sup_{s\in[t,T]} \tilde{V}_s} |\xi_T|^q + \Big(\int_t^\infty \mathbb{1}_{[0,n]}\,(s)\, e^{\tilde{V}_s} |\Phi\,(s, 0, 0)\,| dQ_s \Big)^q \Big] \\
&\quad \leq C\, \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \Big[e^{q \sup_{s\in[t,T]} \tilde{V}_s} |\eta|^q + \Big(\int_t^\infty \mathbb{1}_{[0,n]}\,(s)\, e^{\tilde{V}_s} |\Phi\,(s, 0, 0)\,| dQ_s \Big)^q \Big],
\end{aligned}$$

since by Jensen's inequality we have $|\xi_T|^q = |\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_T} \eta|^q \leq \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_T}\, |\eta|^q$.

Using (77), it can be proved that the above inequality holds also for all $0 \leq t \vee n \leq T$.

Passing to limit as $T \to \infty$ we infer, using Beppo Levi's Theorem, that $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \sup\nolimits_{s\geq t} e^{q\tilde{V}_s} |Y_s^n|^q + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \left(\int_t^\infty e^{2\tilde{V}_s} |Z_s^n|^2 ds \right)^{q/2} \\
&\qquad \leq C\, \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \Big[(e^{q \sup_{s\in[t,\tau]} \tilde{V}_s} |\eta|^q) + \Big(\int_t^\infty \mathbb{1}_{[0,n]}\,(s)\, e^{\tilde{V}_s} |\Phi\,(s, 0, 0)\,| dQ_s \Big)^q \Big].
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

In particular, for $q = 2$, there exists another constant $C \geq 1$ such that, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{2\tilde{V}_t} |Y_t^n|^2 &\leq C\, \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \Big[(e^{2 \sup_{s\in[t,\tau]} \tilde{V}_s} |\eta|^2) + \Big(\int_t^\infty \mathbb{1}_{[0,n]}\,(s)\, e^{\tilde{V}_s} |\Phi\,(s, 0, 0)\,| dQ_s \Big)^2 \Big] \\
&\leq 2CR_0^2 = R_0', \ \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.},
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

where R_0 is given by (24).

C. *Other boundedness results on Y^n and Z^n.*

Since for all $u \in H$,

$$\langle u - y, \nabla_y \Psi^n\,(t, y) \rangle \leq \Psi^n\,(t, u) - \Psi^n\,(t, y)\,,$$

we can deduce (see inequality (12)) that, as signed measures on $[0, n]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\big\langle Y_t^n - \xi_t, \Phi_1(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) \big\rangle dQ_t &\leq [\Psi^n(t, \xi_t) - \Psi^n(t, Y_t^n)]\, dQ_t \\
&+ |Y_t^n - \xi_t|\, |\Phi(t, \xi_t, \zeta_t)| dQ_t + |Y_t^n|^2 dV_t^1 + \frac{1}{2a} |Z_t^n - \zeta_t|^2 dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

But

$$0 \leq \Psi^n(t, \xi_t) \leq \Psi(t, \xi_t) = \mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau(\omega)]}\,(t)\, [\alpha_t\,(\omega)\, \varphi(\xi_t) + (1 - \alpha_t\,(\omega))\, \psi(\xi_t)]\,,$$

therefore (33) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}&\Psi^n(t,Y_t^n)dQ_t+\big\langle Y_t^n-\xi_t,\Phi_1(s,Y_s^n,Z_s^n)\big\rangle dQ_t\\&\quad\leq\Psi(t,\xi_t)dQ_t+|Y_t^n-\xi_t|\,|\Phi(t,\xi_t,\zeta_t)|dQ_t+|Y_t^n|^2dV_t^1+\frac{1}{2a}|Z_t^n-\zeta_t|^2dt.\end{aligned}$$

From (30) we see that (Y^n,Z^n) satisfies the equation

$$Y_t^n-\xi_t=\int_t^n\Phi_1(s,Y_s^n,Z_s^n)dQ_s-\int_t^n(Z_s^n-\zeta_s)dW_s,\ \forall\,t\in[0,n]\,,$$

since $\xi_t=\xi_n-\int_t^n\zeta_sdW_s,\ \forall\,t\in[0,n]\,.$

Applying now Proposition 16, there exists a constant $C=C\,(a,p)>0$ such that, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., for all $t\in[0,n]\,,$

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t}\sup\nolimits_{s\in[t,n]}e^{p\tilde V_s}|Y_s^n-\xi_s|^p+\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t}\Big(\int_t^ne^{2\tilde V_s}|Z_s^n-\zeta_s|^2ds\Big)^{p/2}+\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_t^ne^{2\tilde V_s}\Psi^n(s,Y_s^n)dQ_s\Big)^{p/2}\\&\qquad\leq C\,\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t}\Big[\Big(\int_t^ne^{2\tilde V_s}\Psi(s,\xi_s)dQ_s\Big)^{p/2}+\Big(\int_t^ne^{\tilde V_s}|\Phi(s,\xi_s,\zeta_s)|dQ_s\Big)^p\Big].\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t}\sup\nolimits_{s\geq t}e^{p\tilde V_s}|Y_s^n-\xi_s|^p+\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t}\Big(\int_t^\infty e^{2\tilde V_s}|Z_s^n-\zeta_s|^2ds\Big)^{p/2}\\&\qquad\leq C\,\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t}\Big[\Big(\int_t^\infty e^{2\tilde V_s}\Psi(s,\xi_s)dQ_s\Big)^{p/2}+\Big(\int_t^\infty e^{\tilde V_s}|\Phi(s,\xi_s,\zeta_s)|dQ_s\Big)^p\Big].\end{aligned}\tag{34}$$

since $(Y_s^n,Z_s^n)=(\xi_s,\zeta_s)$ for $s>n$.

D. *Boundedness of* $\nabla\varphi_{1/n}(Y_t^n)$ *and* $\nabla\psi_{1/n}(Y_t^n)$.

In order to obtain the boundedness for $|\nabla\varphi_{1/n}(Y_s^n)|^2$ it is essential to use the following stochastic subdifferential inequality (see Proposition 11 in [10]), written first for $\varphi_{1/n}$:

$$\begin{aligned}e^{2\tilde V_s}\varphi_{1/n}(Y_s^n)\geq e^{2\tilde V_t}\varphi_{1/n}(Y_t^n)+\int_t^s\varphi_{1/n}(Y_r^n)d(e^{2\tilde V_r})+\int_t^se^{2\tilde V_r}\nabla\varphi_{1/n}(Y_r^n)dY_r^n,\\\forall\,0\leq t\leq s\leq n.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$e^{2\tilde V_s}\varphi_{1/n}(Y_s^n)\geq e^{2\tilde V_t}\varphi_{1/n}(Y_t^n)+2\int_t^se^{2\tilde V_r}\varphi_{1/n}(Y_r^n)d\tilde V_r+\int_t^se^{2\tilde V_r}\nabla\varphi_{1/n}(Y_r^n)dY_r^n.$$

It follows that, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. for all $0\leq t\leq s\leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned}&e^{2\tilde V_t}\varphi_{1/n}(Y_t^n)+\int_t^se^{2\tilde V_r}\big\langle\nabla\varphi_{1/n}(Y_r^n),\mathbb{1}_{[0,n]}\,(r)\,\nabla_y\Psi^n\,(r,Y_r^n)\,\big\rangle dQ_r\\&\leq e^{2\tilde V_s}\varphi_{1/n}(Y_s^n)+\int_t^se^{2\tilde V_r}\big\langle\nabla\varphi_{1/n}(Y_r^n),\mathbb{1}_{[0,n]}\,(r)\,\Phi(r,Y_r^n,Z_r^n)dQ_r\big\rangle\\&\quad-\int_t^se^{2\tilde V_r}\big\langle\nabla\varphi_{1/n}(Y_r^n),Z_r^ndW_r\big\rangle-2\int_t^se^{2\tilde V_r}\varphi_{1/n}(Y_r^n)d\tilde V_r\end{aligned}$$

(and similar inequality for $\psi_{1/n}$).

Since

$$\varphi_{1/n}(0)+\psi_{1/n}(0)=0\le\varphi_{1/n}(y)+\psi_{1/n}(y)\le\varphi(y)+\psi(y),\ \forall y\in H, \tag{35}$$

we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^{2\tilde{V}_t}\left[\varphi_{1/n}(Y_t^n)+\psi_{1/n}(Y_t^n)\right]+\int_t^s \mathbb{1}_{[0,n\wedge\tau]}(r)\,e^{2\tilde{V}_r}\Big[\alpha_r|\nabla\varphi_{1/n}(Y_r^n)|^2\\
&\quad+\big\langle\nabla\varphi_{1/n}(Y_r^n),\nabla\psi_{1/n}(Y_r^n)\big\rangle+(1-\alpha_r)\,|\nabla\psi_{1/n}(Y_r^n)|^2\Big]dQ_r\\
&\le e^{2\tilde{V}_s}\left[\varphi(Y_s^n)+\psi(Y_s^n)\right]+\int_t^s e^{2\tilde{V}_r}\big\langle\nabla\varphi_{1/n}(Y_r^n)+\nabla\psi_{1/n}(Y_r^n),\mathbb{1}_{[0,n]}(r)\,\Phi(r,Y_r^n,Z_r^n)\big\rangle dQ_r\\
&\quad-\int_t^s e^{2\tilde{V}_r}\big\langle\nabla\varphi_{1/n}(Y_r^n)+\nabla\psi_{1/n}(Y_r^n),Z_r^n dW_r\big\rangle-2\int_t^s e^{2\tilde{V}_r}\left[\varphi_{1/n}(Y_r^n)+\psi_{1/n}(Y_r^n)\right]d\tilde{V}_r.
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Using definition (9), the compatibility assumptions (16) gives us

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(y),\Phi(t,y,z)\rangle&=\mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau]}(t)\,\langle\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(y),\alpha_t F(t,y,z)+(1-\alpha_t)\,G(t,y)\rangle\\
&\le\mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau]}(t)\,\big(\alpha_t|F(t,y,z)||\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(y)|+(1-\alpha_t)\,|G(t,y)||\nabla\psi_\varepsilon(y)|\\
&\quad+(1-\alpha_t)\,\nu_t^-|y||\nabla\psi_\varepsilon(y)|-(1-\alpha_t)\,\nu_t^-\,\langle\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(y),y\rangle\,\big)
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

and respectively

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\nabla\psi_\varepsilon(y),\Phi(t,y,z)\rangle&=\mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau]}(t)\,\langle\nabla\psi_\varepsilon(y),\alpha_t F(t,y,z)+(1-\alpha_t)\,G(t,y)\rangle\\
&\le\mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau]}(t)\,\big(\alpha_t|F(t,y,z)||\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(y)|+(1-\alpha_t)\,|G(t,y)||\nabla\psi_\varepsilon(y)|\\
&\quad+\alpha_t\mu_t^-|y||\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(y)|-\alpha_t\mu_t^-\,\langle\nabla\psi_\varepsilon(y),y\rangle\,\big)
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

From (16-i), (32), (36-38) and the inequality $2ab\le\frac{1}{\alpha}a^2+\alpha b^2$ with $\alpha\in\{2,4\}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^{2\tilde{V}_t}\left[\varphi_{1/n}(Y_t^n)+\psi_{1/n}(Y_t^n)\right]+\frac{1}{2}\int_t^s \mathbb{1}_{[0,n\wedge\tau]}(r)\,e^{2\tilde{V}_r}\Big[|\nabla\varphi_{1/n}(Y_r^n)|^2dr+|\nabla\psi_{1/n}(Y_r^n)|^2dA_r\Big]\\
&\le e^{2\tilde{V}_s}\left[\varphi(Y_s^n)+\psi(Y_s^n)\right]+\int_t^s \mathbb{1}_{[0,n\wedge\tau]}(r)\,4e^{2\tilde{V}_r}\Big[|F(r,Y_r^n,Z_r^n)|^2dr+|G(r,Y_r^n)|^2dA_r\Big]\\
&\quad+\int_t^s \mathbb{1}_{[0,n\wedge\tau]}(r)\,e^{2\tilde{V}_r}|Y_r^n|^2\Big[|\mu_r^-|^2dr+|\nu_r^-|^2dA_r\Big]\\
&\quad-\int_t^s \mathbb{1}_{[0,n\wedge\tau]}(r)\,e^{2\tilde{V}_r}\left[\mu_r^-\big\langle\nabla\psi_{1/n}(Y_r^n),Y_r^n\big\rangle dr+\nu_r^-\big\langle\nabla\varphi_{1/n}(Y_r^n),Y_r^n\big\rangle dA_r\right]\\
&\quad-\int_t^s e^{2\tilde{V}_r}\big\langle\nabla\varphi_{1/n}(Y_r^n)+\nabla\psi_{1/n}(Y_r^n),Z_r^n dW_r\big\rangle-2\int_t^s e^{2\tilde{V}_r}\left[\varphi_{1/n}(Y_r^n)+\psi_{1/n}(Y_r^n)\right]d\tilde{V}_r.
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Using (35), the definition of $\tilde{V}$, the assumption $dV_s\le 2d\tilde{V}_s$, on $[0,\tau]$, and the inequality

$$0\le\varphi_{1/n}(y)\le\big\langle\nabla\varphi_{1/n}(y),y\big\rangle,\ \forall y\in H,$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\mu_r^- \big\langle \nabla\psi_{1/n}(Y_r^n), Y_r^n\big\rangle dr - \nu_r^- \big\langle \nabla\varphi_{1/n}(Y_r^n), Y_r^n\big\rangle dA_r - 2\left[\varphi_{1/n}(Y_r^n) + \psi_{1/n}(Y_r^n)\right] d\tilde{V}_r \\
&\leq 2\left(\varphi_{1/n}(Y_r^n) + \psi_{1/n}(Y_r^n)\right)\left((\tilde{\mu}_r)^- dr + (\tilde{\nu}_r)^- dA_r\right) \\
&\quad -\nu_r^- \big\langle \nabla\varphi_{1/n}(Y_r^n), Y_r^n\big\rangle dA_r - \mu_r^- \big\langle \nabla\psi_{1/n}(Y_r^n), Y_r^n\big\rangle dr \\
&\leq 2\big\langle \nabla\varphi_{1/n}(Y_r^n), Y_r^n\big\rangle \left(\tilde{\mu}_r\right)^- dr + 2\big\langle \nabla\psi_{1/n}(Y_r^n), Y_r^n\big\rangle \left(\tilde{\nu}_r\right)^- dA_r \\
&\leq \big\langle \nabla\varphi_{1/n}(Y_r^n), Y_r^n\big\rangle \mu_r^- dr + 2\big\langle \nabla\psi_{1/n}(Y_r^n), Y_r^n\big\rangle \nu_r^- dA_r,
\end{aligned}$$

since $\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^-$ and $2\tilde{\mu} \geq \mu \Leftrightarrow 2\left(\tilde{\mu}\right)^- \leq \mu^-$ (and similar for ν).

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\int_t^s \mathbb{1}_{[0,n\wedge\tau]}(r)\, e^{2\tilde{V}_r}\left[\mu_r^- \big\langle \nabla\psi_{1/n}(Y_r^n), Y_r^n\big\rangle dr + \nu_r^- \big\langle \nabla\varphi_{1/n}(Y_r^n), Y_r^n\big\rangle dA_r\right] \\
&\quad -2\int_t^s e^{2\tilde{V}_r}\left[\varphi_{1/n}(Y_r^n) + \psi_{1/n}(Y_r^n)\right] d\tilde{V}_r \\
&\leq \int_t^s \mathbb{1}_{[0,n\wedge\tau]}(r)\, e^{2\tilde{V}_r}\Big[\frac{1}{4}|\nabla\varphi_{1/n}(Y_r^n)|^2 dr + \frac{1}{4}|\nabla\psi_{1/n}(Y_r^n)|^2 dA_r\Big] \\
&\quad +\int_t^s \mathbb{1}_{[0,n\wedge\tau]}(r)\, e^{2\tilde{V}_r}|Y_r^n|^2\Big[\left|\mu_r^-\right|^2 dr + \left|\nu_r^-\right|^2 dA_r\Big].
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Moreover, we see that

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t}\int_t^s e^{2\tilde{V}_r}\left\langle \nabla\varphi_{1/n}(Y_r^n) + \nabla\psi_{1/n}(Y_r^n), Z_r^n dW_r\right\rangle = 0,$$

because

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left(\int_t^s e^{4\tilde{V}_r}\left(|\nabla\varphi_{1/n}(Y_r^n)| + |\nabla\psi_{1/n}(Y_r^n)|\right)^2 |Z_r^n|^2 dr\right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sup\nolimits_{r\in[t,s]}\left(2n\, e^{\tilde{V}_r}|Y_r^n|\right)\left(\int_t^s e^{2\tilde{V}_r}|Z_r^n|^2 dr\right)^{1/2}\right] \\
&\leq 2\sqrt{2}n\sqrt{R_0'}\left[\mathbb{E}\left(\int_t^s e^{2\tilde{V}_r}|Z_r^n - \tilde{\zeta}_r|^2 dr\right)^{1/2} + \mathbb{E}\left(\int_t^s e^{2\tilde{V}_r}|\tilde{\zeta}_r|^2 dr\right)^{1/2}\right] < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

For $s = n$, Jensen's inequality yields

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{2\tilde{V}_s}\varphi(Y_s^n) + \psi(Y_s^n)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{2\tilde{V}_n}\left(\varphi(\xi_n) + \psi(\xi_n)\right)\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[e^{2\tilde{V}_n}\left(\varphi(\eta) + \psi(\eta)\right)\right],$$

and using (40), the inequality (39) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[e^{2\tilde{V}_t}\left(\varphi_{1/n}(Y_t^n) + \psi_{1/n}(Y_t^n)\right)\right] + \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}\int_t^n \mathbb{1}_{[0,n\wedge\tau]}(r)\, e^{2\tilde{V}_r}\Big[|\nabla\varphi_{1/n}(Y_r^n)|^2 dr \\
&\quad +|\nabla\psi_{1/n}(Y_r^n)|^2 dA_r\Big] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[e^{2\tilde{V}_n}\left(\varphi(\eta) + \psi(\eta)\right)\right] \\
&\quad +4\mathbb{E}\int_t^n \mathbb{1}_{[0,n\wedge\tau]}(r)\, e^{2\tilde{V}_r}\left(|F(r, Y_r^n, Z_r^n)|^2 dr + |G(r, Y_r^n)|^2 dA_r\right) \\
&\quad +2\mathbb{E}\int_t^n \mathbb{1}_{[0,n\wedge\tau]}(r)\, e^{2\tilde{V}_r}|Y_r^n|^2\Big[\left|\mu_r^-\right|^2 dr + \left|\nu_r^-\right|^2 dA_r\Big].
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

But the right hand side in the above inequality is bounded since

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{2\tilde{V}_r}|G(r,Y_r^n)|^2 &\leq \sup\nolimits_{|y|\leq\sqrt{R_0'}} e^{2\tilde{V}_r}|G(r,e^{-\tilde{V}_r}y)|^2,\\
e^{2\tilde{V}_r}|F(r,Y_r^n,Z_r^n)|^2 &\leq 3\sup\nolimits_{|y|\leq\sqrt{R_0'}} e^{2\tilde{V}_r}|F(r,e^{-\tilde{V}_r}y,0)|^2+3\ell^2 e^{2\tilde{V}_r}|Z_r^n-\zeta_r|^2+3\ell^2 e^{2\tilde{V}_r}|\zeta_r|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{2\tilde{V}_t}\left(\varphi_{1/n}(Y_t^n)+\psi_{1/n}(Y_t^n)\right)\right]\leq C,\ \text{for all } t\geq 0 \tag{42}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\int_0^\infty \mathbb{1}_{[0,n\wedge\tau]}(r)\left[e^{2\tilde{V}_r}|\nabla\varphi_{1/n}(Y_r^n)|^2dr+e^{2\tilde{V}_r}|\nabla\psi_{1/n}(Y_r^n)|^2dA_r\right]\leq C. \tag{43}$$

From (42) and (15$-a$) we see that, for all $t\geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{2\tilde{V}_t}\left(\left|1/n\nabla\varphi_{1/n}(Y_r^n)\right|^2+\left|1/n\nabla\psi_{1/n}(Y_r^n)\right|^2\right)\right]\leq 2C/n, \tag{44}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{2\tilde{V}_t}\left(\varphi\left(Y_t^n-1/n\nabla\varphi_{1/n}(Y_r^n)\right)+\psi\left(Y_t^n-1/n\nabla\psi_{1/n}(Y_r^n)\right)\right)\right]\leq C. \tag{45}$$

E. *Cauchy sequences and convergence.*

From (34) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\sup\nolimits_{s\geq n} e^{p\tilde{V}_s}|Y_s^{n+l}-\xi_s|^p+\mathbb{E}\left(\int_n^\infty e^{2\tilde{V}_s}|Z_s^{n+l}-\zeta_s|^2ds\right)^{p/2}\\
&\quad\leq C\,\mathbb{E}\Big[\Big(\int_n^\infty e^{2\tilde{V}_s}\Psi(s,\xi_s)dQ_s\Big)^{p/2}+\Big(\int_n^\infty e^{\tilde{V}_s}|\Phi(s,\xi_s,\zeta_s)|dQ_s\Big)^p\Big]\longrightarrow 0,\ n\to\infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

By uniqueness it follows that, for all $t\in[0,n]$,

$$Y_t^{n+l}-Y_t^n=Y_n^{n+l}-\xi_n+\int_t^n dK_s^{n,l}-\int_t^n(Z_s^{n+l}-Z_s^n)dW_s,\ \ a.s.,$$

where

$$dK_s^{n,l}=\Big(\Phi(s,Y_s^{n+l},Z_s^{n+l})-\Phi(s,Y_s^n,Z_s^n)-[\nabla_y\Psi^{n+l}(Y_s^{n+l})-\nabla_y\Psi^n(Y_s^n)]\Big)\,dQ_s.$$

By (15-d) with $\varepsilon=1/\left(n+l\right)$ and $\delta=1/n$

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\big\langle Y_s^{n+l}-Y_s^n,\big(\nabla_y\Psi^{n+l}(s,Y_s^{n+l})-\nabla_y\Psi^n(s,Y_s^n)\big)dQ_s\big\rangle\\
&\leq(\varepsilon+\delta)\mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau]}(s)\Big(\big\langle\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(Y_s^{n+l}),\nabla\varphi_\delta(Y_s^n)\big\rangle ds+\big\langle\nabla\psi_\varepsilon(Y_s^{n+l}),\nabla\psi_\delta(Y_s^n)\big\rangle dA_s\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

and using (12) we have on $[0,n]$

$$\begin{aligned}
\big\langle Y_s^{n+l}-Y_s^n,dK_s^{n,l}\big\rangle &\leq\frac{\varepsilon+\delta}{2}\mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau]}(s)\Big[\big(|\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(Y_s^n)|^2+|\nabla\varphi_\delta(Y_s^{n+l})|^2\big)ds\\
&\quad+\big(|\nabla\psi_\varepsilon(Y_s^n)|^2+|\nabla\psi_\delta(Y_s^{n+l})|^2\big)dA_s\Big]+|Y_s^{n+l}-Y_s^n|^2dV_s^1+\tfrac{1}{2a}|Z_s^{n+l}-Z_s^n|^2ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 16 yields once again

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\sup\nolimits_{s\in[0,n]} e^{2\tilde{V}_s}|Y_s^{n+l}-Y_s^n|^2+\mathbb{E}\int_0^n e^{2\tilde{V}_s}|Z_s^{n+l}-Z_s^n|^2ds \le C\,\mathbb{E}e^{2\tilde{V}_n}|Y_n^{n+l}-\xi_n|^2\\
&\quad+(\varepsilon+\delta)\,C\,\mathbb{E}\int_0^{n\wedge\tau} e^{2\tilde{V}_s}\big(|\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(Y_s^n)|^2+|\nabla\varphi_\delta(Y_s^{n+l})|^2\big)ds \qquad (47)\\
&\quad+(\varepsilon+\delta)\,C\,\mathbb{E}\int_0^{n\wedge\tau} e^{2\tilde{V}_s}\big(|\nabla\psi_\varepsilon(Y_s^n)|^2+|\nabla\psi_\delta(Y_s^{n+l})|^2\big)dA_s.
\end{aligned}$$

The estimates (43) and (46) give us

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\sup\nolimits_{s\in[0,n]} e^{2\tilde{V}_s}|Y_s^{n+l}-Y_s^n|^2+\mathbb{E}\int_0^n e^{2\tilde{V}_s}|Z_s^{n+l}-Z_s^n|^2ds\\
&\qquad\le \mathbb{E}\sup\nolimits_{s\ge n} e^{2\tilde{V}_s}|Y_s^{n+l}-\xi_s|^2+\frac{C}{n}\longrightarrow 0,\ \text{as } n\to\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\sup\nolimits_{s\ge 0} e^{2\tilde{V}_s}|Y_s^{n+l}-Y_s^n|^2\\
&\qquad\le \mathbb{E}\sup\nolimits_{s\in[0,n]} e^{2\tilde{V}_s}|Y_s^{n+l}-Y_s^n|^2+\mathbb{E}\sup\nolimits_{s\ge n} e^{2\tilde{V}_s}|Y_s^{n+l}-\xi_s|^2\longrightarrow 0,\ \text{as } n\to\infty
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\int_0^\infty e^{2\tilde{V}_s}|Z_s^{n+l}-Z_s^n|^2ds\\
&\qquad\le \mathbb{E}\int_0^n e^{2\tilde{V}_s}|Z_s^{n+l}-Z_s^n|^2ds+\mathbb{E}\int_n^\infty e^{2\tilde{V}_s}|Z_s^{n+l}-\zeta_s|^2ds\longrightarrow 0,\ \text{as } n\to\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

F. *Passage to the limit.*

Consequently there exists $(Y,Z)\in\mathcal{S}^2\times\Lambda^2$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\sup\nolimits_{s\ge 0} e^{2\tilde{V}_s}|Y_s^n-Y_s|^2+\mathbb{E}\int_0^\infty e^{2\tilde{V}_s}|Z_s^n-Z_s|^2ds\longrightarrow 0,\ \text{as } n\to\infty.$$

We have that $(Y_t,Z_t)=(\eta,0)$ for $t>\tau$, since $Y_t^n=\xi_t=\eta$ and $Z_t^n=\zeta_t=0$ for $t>\tau$.

Applying Fatou's Lemma to (31) and (34) we obtain $(27{-}a,b)$ and taking the limit along a subsequence in (32), we deduce that

$$e^{2\tilde{V}_t}|Y_t|^2\le R_0',\ \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s., for all } t\ge 0.$$

From (43) there exist two p.m.s.p. U^1 and U^2, such that along a subsequence still indexed by n,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau\wedge n]}e^{2\tilde{V}}\nabla\varphi_{1/n}(Y^n)\rightharpoonup\mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau]}U^1,\quad \text{weakly in } L^2\left(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+,d\mathbb{P}\otimes dt;H\right),\\
&\mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau\wedge n]}e^{2\tilde{V}}\nabla\psi_{1/n}(Y^n)\rightharpoonup\mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau]}U^2,\quad \text{weakly in } L^2\left(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+,d\mathbb{P}\otimes dA_t;H\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Fatou's Lemma to (43) we obtain (28) and from (44) we deduce that for all $t \geq 0$ fixed, there exists a subsequence indexed also by n, such that

$$\frac{1}{n}\nabla\varphi_{1/n}(Y_t^n) \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{n}\nabla\psi_{1/n}(Y_t^n) \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0.$$

We now apply Fatou's Lemma to (45) and we conclude $(27-d)$.

From (29) we have for all $0 \leq t \leq T \leq n$, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.

$$Y_t^n + \int_t^T \nabla_y \Psi^n(s, Y_s^n)dQ_s = Y_T^n + \int_t^T \Phi(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n)dQ_s - \int_t^T Z_s^n dW_s,$$

and passing to the limit we conclude that

$$Y_t + \int_t^T U_s dQ_s = Y_T + \int_t^T \Phi(s, Y_s, Z_s)dQ_s - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \text{ a.s.} \tag{48}$$

with

$$U_s = \mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau]}(s)\left[\alpha_s U_s^1 + (1-\alpha_s)U_s^2\right], \text{ for } s \geq 0. \tag{49}$$

Since $(15-b)$, we see that, for all $E \in \mathcal{F}$, $0 \leq s \leq t$ and $X \in \mathcal{S}^2[0,T]$,

$$\mathbb{E}\int_s^t \mathbb{1}_E \langle e^{2\tilde{V}_r}\nabla\varphi_{1/n}(Y_r^n), X_r - Y_r^n\rangle dr + \mathbb{E}\int_s^t \mathbb{1}_E e^{2\tilde{V}_r}\varphi(Y_r^n - 1/n\nabla\varphi_{1/n}(Y_s^n))dr$$
$$\leq \mathbb{E}\int_s^t \mathbb{1}_E e^{2\tilde{V}_r}\varphi(X_r)dr.$$

Passing to $\liminf$ for $n \to \infty$ in the above inequality we obtain

$$U_s^1 \in \partial\varphi(Y_s), d\mathbb{P} \otimes ds\text{-a.e.} \tag{50}$$

and, with similar arguments,

$$U_s^2 \in \partial\psi(Y_s), d\mathbb{P} \otimes dA_s\text{-a.e.} \tag{51}$$

Summarizing the above conclusions we see that (Y, Z, U) is solution of the BSVI (20) under the assumption $(\mathrm{A}_1-\mathrm{A}_9)$. ■

4 Variational weak formulation

The existence and the uniqueness of a solution (Y, Z) are still true without the additional assumption (A_9), but in this case we can't prove the absolute continuity of K (or even the bounded variation property). Therefore we shall give a new definition for the solution of the BSVI (20).

Let us define the space $\mathcal{M}$ of the semimartingales $M \in \mathcal{S}^1$ of the form

$$M_t = \gamma - \int_0^t N_r dQ_r + \int_0^t R_r dW_r,$$

where N and R are two p.m.s.p. such that $\int_0^T |N_r|\,dQ_r + \int_0^T |R_r|^2\,dr < \infty$ a.s., $\forall\, T > 0$ and $\gamma \in L^0(\Omega;\mathcal{F}_0,\mathbb{P};H)$.

For a intuitive introduction, let $M \in \mathcal{M}$ and (Y,Z) be a solution of (20), in the sense of Definition 5. By Itô's formula we deduce the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}|M_t - Y_t|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_t^T |R_r - Z_r|^2\,dr + \int_t^T \Psi(r,Y_r)\,dQ_r \le \frac{1}{2}|M_T - Y_T|^2 + \int_t^T \Psi(r,M_r)\,dQ_r \\ + \int_t^T \langle M_r - Y_r, N_r - \Phi(r,Y_r,Z_r)\rangle dQ_r - \int_t^T \langle M_r - Y_r, (R_r - Z_r)\,dW_r\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

since $dK_t = U_t dQ_t \in \partial_y \Psi(t,Y_t)\,dQ_t$ (see the inequality (13)).

We propose the following weak formulation of the Definition 5

Definition 8 *We call $(Y_t,Z_t)_{t\ge 0}$ a variational weak solution of (20) if $(Y,Z) \in \mathcal{S}^2 \times \Lambda^2$, $(Y_t,Z_t) = (\xi_t,\zeta_t) = (\eta,0)$ for $t > \tau$ and*

$$\begin{aligned}&(i) \quad \int_0^T (|\Phi(s,Y_s,Z_s)| + |\Psi(s,Y_s)|)\,dQ_s < \infty,\ \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s., for all } T \ge 0,\\ &(ii) \quad \frac{1}{2}|M_t - Y_t|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_t^s |R_r - Z_r|^2\,dr + \int_t^s \Psi(r,Y_r)\,dQ_r \le \frac{1}{2}|M_s - Y_s|^2 \\ &\qquad + \int_t^s \Psi(r,M_r)\,dQ_r + \int_t^s \langle M_r - Y_r, N_r - \Phi(r,Y_r,Z_r)\rangle dQ_r \\ &\qquad - \int_t^s \langle M_r - Y_r, (R_r - Z_r)\,dW_r\rangle, \\ &\qquad \forall\, 0 \le t \le s,\ \forall\,(N,R) \in L^2(\Omega\times[0,\infty);H)\times\Lambda^2,\ \forall\, M \in \mathcal{M}, \\ &(iii) \quad \mathbb{E}e^{2V_T}|Y_T - \xi_T|^2 + \mathbb{E}\int_T^\infty e^{2V_s}|Z_s - \zeta_s|^2\,ds \longrightarrow 0,\ \text{as } T \to \infty.\end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Theorem 9 *Let the assumptions ($A_1 - A_8$) be satisfied. Then the backward stochastic variational inequality (20) has a unique solution (Y,Z) in the sense of Definition 8 such that*

$$\mathbb{E}\sup\nolimits_{t\in[0,T]} e^{p\tilde{V}_s}|Y_s|^p < \infty,\ \text{for all } T \ge 0. \tag{53}$$

Moreover, the inequalities (27) hold.

Proof. Firstly we shall approximate the data η and Φ by η^n respectively Φ^n which satisfy (24).

Let

$$\begin{aligned}\eta^n(\omega) &= \eta(\omega)\,\mathbb{1}_{[0,n]}\big(|\eta(\omega)| + |\sup\nolimits_{s\in[0,\tau]}\tilde{V}_s|\big),\\ \Phi^n(t,y,z) &= \Phi(t,y,z) - \Phi(t,0,0) + \Phi(t,0,0)\,\mathbb{1}_{[0,n]}\big(t + |\Phi(t,0,0)| + |\tilde{V}_t|\big).\end{aligned}$$

Obviously,

$$\mathbb{E}\big(e^{p\sup_{s\in[0,\tau]}\tilde{V}_s}|\eta^n-\eta|^p\big)+\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^\tau e^{\tilde{V}_s}\left|\Phi^n(s,0,0)-\Phi(s,0,0)\right|dQ_s\right)^p\longrightarrow 0,\ \text{as } n\to\infty.$$

Applying Theorem 7 we deduce that there exists a unique solution (Y^n,Z^n,U^n) of the BSVI (20) corresponding to η^n and Φ^n :

$$\begin{cases} Y_t^n+\displaystyle\int_t^\infty U_s^n dQ_s=\eta^n+\int_t^\infty \Phi^n(s,Y_s^n,Z_s^n)\,dQ_s-\int_t^\infty Z_s^n dW_s,\ \text{a.s.,}\\ U_t^n\in\partial_y\Psi(t,Y_t^n)\,,\ \forall\, t\geq 0.\end{cases}\tag{54}$$

This solution satisfies inequalities (27-28) with $Y,Z,U,\Phi,\eta,\xi,\zeta$ replaced respectively with $Y^n,Z^n,U^n,\Phi^n,\eta^n,\xi^n,\zeta^n$.

Since $|\eta^n|\leq|\eta|$ and $|\Phi^n(t,0,0)|\leq|\Phi(t,0,0)|$,

$$e^{2\tilde{V}_t}|Y_t^n|^2\leq C\,\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t}\Big[(e^{2\sup_{s\in[t,\tau]}\tilde{V}_s}|\eta|^2)+\Big(\int_t^\infty e^{\tilde{V}_s}|\Phi(s,0,0)\,|dQ_s\Big)^2\Big],\ \forall t\geq 0,\ \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

Using (12), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle Y_s^n-Y_s^m,\Phi^n(s,Y_s^n,Z_s^n)-\Phi^m(s,Y_s^m,Z_s^m)-(U_s^n-U_s^m)\rangle dQ_s\\ &\leq\langle Y_s^n-Y_s^m,\Phi(s,Y_s^n,Z_s^n)-\Phi(s,Y_s^m,Z_s^m)\rangle dQ_s\\ &\qquad+\langle Y_s^n-Y_s^m,\Phi(s,0,0)\rangle\left(\mathbb{1}_{[0,n]}-\mathbb{1}_{[0,m]}\right)(t+|\Phi(t,0,0)|+|\tilde{V}_t|)dQ_s\\ &\leq|Y_s^n-Y_s^m|\,|\Phi(s,0,0)|\,\big|\left(\mathbb{1}_{[0,n]}-\mathbb{1}_{[0,m]}\right)(t+|\Phi(t,0,0)|+|\tilde{V}_t|)\big|dQ_s\\ &\qquad+|Y_s^n-Y_s^m|^2d\tilde{V}_s+\frac{1}{2a}|Z_s^n-Z_s^m|^2ds,\end{aligned}\tag{55}$$

since

$$\langle Y_s^n-Y_s^m,U_s^n-U_s^m\rangle\geq 0,\ \text{for } U_s^n\in\partial_y\Psi(s,Y_s^n)\text{ and }U_s^m\in\partial_y\Psi(s,Y_s^m),$$

and $dV_s\leq d\tilde{V}_s$ on $[0,\tau]$.

Applying Proposition 16 for the equation satisfied by Y^n-Y^m on $[0,T]$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\sup\nolimits_{s\in[0,T]}e^{p\tilde{V}_s}|Y_s^n-Y_s^m|^p+\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T e^{2\tilde{V}_s}|Z_s^n-Z_s^m|^2ds\right)^{p/2}\\ &\leq C\,\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_0^T e^{\tilde{V}_s}\,|\Phi(s,0,0)|\,\big|\left(\mathbb{1}_{[0,n]}-\mathbb{1}_{[0,m]}\right)(t+|\Phi(t,0,0)|+|\tilde{V}_t|)\big|dQ_s\Big)^p\\ &\qquad\qquad+C\,\mathbb{E}e^{p\tilde{V}_T}\Big(|Y_T^n-\xi_T^n|^p+|\xi_T^n-\xi_T^m|^p+|\xi_T^m-Y_T^m|^p\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore passing to the limit for $T\to\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\sup\nolimits_{s\geq 0}e^{p\tilde{V}_s}|Y_s^n-Y_s^m|^p+\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^\infty e^{2\tilde{V}_s}|Z_s^n-Z_s^m|^2ds\right)^{p/2}\\ &\leq C\,\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_0^\infty e^{\tilde{V}_s}\,|\Phi(s,0,0)|\,\big|\left(\mathbb{1}_{[0,n]}-\mathbb{1}_{[0,m]}\right)(t+|\Phi(t,0,0)|+|\tilde{V}_t|)\big|dQ_s\Big)^p\\ &\qquad\qquad+C\,\mathbb{E}e^{p\sup_{s\geq 0}\tilde{V}_s}|\eta^n-\eta^m|^p\xrightarrow[n,m\to\infty]{}0.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently there exists $(Y,Z) \in \mathcal{S}^0 \times \Lambda^0$ a solution of the BSVI (20) such that

$$\mathbb{E}\sup_{s\geq 0} e^{2\tilde{V}_s}|Y_s^n - Y_s|^2 + \mathbb{E}\int_0^\infty e^{2\tilde{V}_s}|Z_s^n - Z_s|^2 ds \longrightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

and $(Y_t, Z_t) = (\eta, 0)$ for $t > \tau$, since $Y_t^n = \xi_t^n = \eta^n$ and $Z_t^n = \zeta_t^n = 0$ for $t > \tau$.

Let $M \in \mathcal{M}$ given by

$$M_t = \gamma - \int_0^t N_r dQ_r + \int_0^t R_r dW_r\,.$$

From the Itô's formula applying to $|M_t - Y_t^n|^2$ we deduce that, for all $0 \leq t \leq s \leq \tau$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}|M_t - Y_t^n|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_t^s |R_r - Z_r^n|^2 dr + \int_t^s \Psi(r, Y_r^n)\, dQ_r \leq \frac{1}{2}|M_s - Y_s^n|^2 + \int_t^s \Psi(r, M_r)\, dQ_r \\ + \int_t^s \langle M_r - Y_r^n, N_r - \Phi(r, Y_r^n, Z_r^n)\rangle dQ_r - \int_t^s \langle M_r - Y_r^n, (R_r - Z_r^n)\, dW_r\rangle\,.\end{aligned}$$

Since on a subsequence (still denoted by n)

$$\sup_{s\in[0,T]} |Y_s^n - Y_s|^2 + \int_0^T |Z_s^n - Z_s|^2\, ds \longrightarrow 0, \text{ a.s.,}$$

it follows easily, passing to the $\liminf$, that the couple (Y,Z) satisfies the inequality (52-*ii*).

In the same manner, the inequalities (27) follow now from the similar properties satisfied by the approximate solution (Y^n, Z^n).

In order to prove the uniqueness of the solution let (Y^1, Z^1) and (Y^2, Z^2) be two solutions of (20) corresponding to η^1 and η^2 respectively. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\big(|M_t - Y_t^1|^2 + |M_t - Y_t^2|^2\big) + \frac{1}{2}\int_t^s \big(|R_r - Z_r^1|^2 + |R_r - Z_r^2|^2\big)dr \\ &+ \int_t^s \big(\Psi(r, Y_r^1) + \Psi(r, Y_r^2)\big)dQ_r \leq \frac{1}{2}\big(|M_s - Y_s^1|^2 + |M_s - Y_s^2|^2\big) + 2\int_t^s \Psi(r, M_r)\, dQ_r \\ &+ \int_t^s \big(\langle M_r - Y_r^1, N_r - \Phi(r, Y_r^1, Z_r^1)\rangle + \langle M_r - Y_r^2, N_r - \Phi(r, Y_r^2, Z_r^2)\rangle\big)dQ_r \\ &- \int_t^s \big(\langle M_r - Y_r^1, R_r - Z_r^1\rangle + \langle M_r - Y_r^2, (R_r - Z_r^2)\, dW_r\rangle\big),\ \forall\, 0 \leq t \leq s,\ \forall\, M \in \mathcal{M}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $Y = \frac{Y^1+Y^2}{2}$, $Z = \frac{Z^1+Z^2}{2}$ and $\Phi(r) = \dfrac{\Phi(r, Y_r^1, Z_r^1) + \Phi(r, Y_r^2, Z_r^2)}{2}$.

From the convexity of Ψ we see that

$$2\Psi(r, Y_r) \leq \Psi(r, Y_r^1) + \Psi(r, Y_r^2)\,,$$

and using the identity

$$2\Big\langle \frac{y^1+y^2}{2}, \frac{f^1+f^2}{2}\Big\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle y^1 - y^2, f^1 - f^2\rangle = \langle y^1, f^1\rangle + \langle y^2, f^2\rangle\,,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle M_r - Y_r^1, N_r - \Phi\left(r, Y_r^1, Z_r^1\right)\rangle + \langle M_r - Y_r^2, N_r - \Phi\left(r, Y_r^2, Z_r^2\right)\rangle \\ &-2\left\langle M_r - Y_r, N_r - \Phi\left(r\right)\right\rangle - \tfrac{1}{2}\langle Y_r^1 - Y_r^2, \Phi\left(r, Y_r^1, Z_r^1\right) - \Phi\left(r, Y_r^2, Z_r^2\right)\rangle = 0,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle M_r - Y_r^1, R_r - Z_r^1\rangle + \langle M_r - Y_r^2, R_r - Z_r^2\rangle \\ &-2\left\langle M_r - Y_r, R_r - Z_r\right\rangle - \tfrac{1}{2}\langle Y_r^1 - Y_r^2, Z_r^1 - Z_r^2\rangle = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\big(\left|m - y^1\right|^2 + \left|m - y^2\right|^2\big) = \left|m - \tfrac{y^1+y^2}{2}\right|^2 + \tfrac{1}{4}\left|y^1 - y^2\right|^2.$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|Y_t^1 - Y_t^2\right|^2 + \int_t^s \left|Z_r^1 - Z_r^2\right|^2 dr \le 8B_{t,s}\left(M\right) + \left|Y_s^1 - Y_s^2\right|^2 \\ &+2\int_t^s \langle Y_r^1 - Y_r^2, \Phi\left(r, Y_r^1, Z_r^1\right) - \Phi\left(r, Y_r^2, Z_r^2\right)\rangle dQ_r - 2\int_t^s \langle Y_r^1 - Y_r^2, \left(Z_r^1 - Z_r^2\right) dW_r\rangle, \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \forall\, 0 \le t \le s,\ \forall\, M \in \mathcal{M},\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}&B_{t,s}\left(M\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left|M_s - Y_s\right|^2 + \int_t^s \Psi\left(r, M_r\right) dQ_r + \int_t^s \langle M_r - Y_r, N_r - \Phi\left(r\right)\rangle dQ_r - \frac{1}{2}\left|M_t - Y_t\right|^2 \\ &-\frac{1}{2}\int_t^s \left|R_r - Z_r\right|^2 dr - \int_t^s \Psi\left(r, Y_r\right) dQ_r - \int_t^s \langle M_r - Y_r, \left(R_r - Z_r\right) dW_r\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Our next goal will be to prove that

$$\text{there exists } M^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{M} \text{ such that } \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} B_{t,s}\left(M^\varepsilon\right) = 0, \text{ a.s.}, \forall\, 0 \le t \le s. \tag{57}$$

Let

$$M_t^\varepsilon = e^{-\frac{Q_t}{Q_\varepsilon}}\left[Y_0 + \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon}\int_0^t e^{\frac{Q_r}{Q_\varepsilon}} Y_r dQ_r\right]. \tag{58}$$

Clearly, $M^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{M}$ since $M_t^\varepsilon = M_0^\varepsilon + \int_0^t dM_r^\varepsilon$.

The next result it is necessary in order to obtain the limit in the Stieltjes type integrals:

Lemma 10 *Let $a : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a strictly increasing continuous function such that $a\left(0\right) = 0$ and $f : [0,T] \to H$ be a measurable function such that $\left|f\left(t\right)\right| \le C$ a.e. $t \in [0,T]$. Define, for $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$f_\varepsilon\left(t\right) = f\left(0\right) e^{\frac{-a(t)}{a(\varepsilon)}} + \frac{1}{a\left(\varepsilon\right)}\int_0^t e^{\frac{a(r)-a(t)}{a(\varepsilon)}} f\left(r\right) da\left(r\right).$$

Then as $\varepsilon \to 0$,

$$f_\varepsilon\left(t\right) \longrightarrow f\left(t\right), \ \text{a.e. } t \in [0,T]. \tag{59}$$

and, if f is continuous, then

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left|f_\varepsilon\left(t\right) - f\left(t\right)\right| \longrightarrow 0. \tag{60}$$

Remark 11 *The same conclusions are true if we consider $f_\varepsilon(t)$ replaced by*

$$g_\varepsilon(t) = f(T)\, e^{\frac{a(t)-a(T)}{a(\varepsilon)}} + \frac{1}{a(\varepsilon)} \int_t^T e^{\frac{a(t)-a(r)}{a(\varepsilon)}} f(r)\, da(r)\ ,\ t \in [0,T]\,.$$

Proof of the Lemma. *Obviously we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t \tfrac{1}{a(\varepsilon)} e^{\frac{a(r)-a(t)}{a(\varepsilon)}} f(r)\, da(r) = \int_{\frac{-a(t)}{a(\varepsilon)}}^0 e^u f\left(a^{-1}(ua(\varepsilon)+a(t))\right) du \\
&= \int_{\frac{-a(t)}{a(\varepsilon)}}^0 e^u \left[\left(f\circ a^{-1}\right)(ua(\varepsilon)+a(t)) - \left(f\circ a^{-1}\right)(a(t))\right] du + f(t)\int_{\frac{-a(t)}{a(\varepsilon)}}^0 e^u du.
\end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} \left|\int_{\frac{-a(t)}{a(\varepsilon)}}^0 e^u \left[\left(f\circ a^{-1}\right)(ua(\varepsilon)+a(t)) - \left(f\circ a^{-1}\right)(a(t))\right] du\right| \\
&\le \limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^u \left|\left(f\circ a^{-1}\right)((ua(\varepsilon)+a(t))\vee 0) - \left(f\circ a^{-1}\right)(a(t))\right| du \\
&\le 2C\int_{-\infty}^{-n} e^u du + \int_{-n}^0 e^u \left|\left(f\circ a^{-1}\right)((ua(\varepsilon)+a(t))\vee 0) - \left(f\circ a^{-1}\right)(a(t))\right| du \\
&\le 2Ce^{-n} + \limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int_{-n}^0 \left|\left(f\circ a^{-1}\right)((ua(\varepsilon)+a(t))\vee 0) - \left(f\circ a^{-1}\right)(a(t))\right| du \\
&\le 2Ce^{-n},\ \textit{for all } n,
\end{aligned}$$

since

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0} \int_\alpha^\beta \left|\left(f\circ a^{-1}\right)(s+\delta u) - \left(f\circ a^{-1}\right)(s)\right| du = 0,\ \textit{a.e.}$$

Therefore there exists

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \left|\int_{\frac{-a(t)}{a(\varepsilon)}}^0 e^u \left[\left(f\circ a^{-1}\right)(ua(\varepsilon)+a(t)) - f(t)\right] du\right| = 0,$$

and (59) follows.

In the case of continuity for f it is sufficient to write

$$\begin{aligned}
f_\varepsilon(t) &= f(0)\, e^{\frac{-a(t)}{a(\varepsilon)}} + \frac{1}{a(\varepsilon)} \int_0^t e^{\frac{a(r)-a(t)}{a(\varepsilon)}} f(r)\, da(r) \\
&= f(0)\, e^{\frac{-a(t)}{a(\varepsilon)}} + \frac{1}{a(\varepsilon)} \int_0^{t_\varepsilon} e^{\frac{a(r)-a(t)}{a(\varepsilon)}} f(r)\, da(r) + \frac{1}{a(\varepsilon)} \int_{t_\varepsilon}^t e^{\frac{a(r)-a(t)}{a(\varepsilon)}} f(r)\, da(r),
\end{aligned}$$

where $t_\varepsilon := a^{-1}\big(a(t) - \sqrt{a(\varepsilon)}\big) \longrightarrow t$, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, and $t_\varepsilon < t$. ■

Applying the above Lemma we can conclude from (58) that

$$M_t^\varepsilon \longrightarrow Y_t\,,\ \forall\, t \in [0,T]\,. \tag{62}$$

Next we shall prove that, for all $t \leq s$,

$$\int_t^s \Psi\left(r, M_r^\varepsilon\right) dQ_r \longrightarrow \int_t^s \Psi\left(r, Y_r\right) dQ_r\,.$$

From (58) and the convexity of the functions φ and ψ we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_t^s \varphi\left(M_r^\varepsilon\right) \alpha_r dQ_r \leq \int_t^s e^{\frac{-Q_r}{Q_\varepsilon}} \varphi\left(Y_0\right) dr + \int_t^s \left(\int_0^r \tfrac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} e^{\frac{Q_u - Q_r}{Q_\varepsilon}} \varphi\left(Y_u\right) dQ_u\right) dr \\
&= \varphi\left(Y_0\right) \int_t^s e^{\frac{-Q_r}{Q_\varepsilon}} dr + \int_0^s \left(\int_0^s \tfrac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} e^{\frac{Q_u - Q_r}{Q_\varepsilon}} \varphi\left(Y_u\right) \mathbb{1}_{[0,r]}\left(u\right) dQ_u\right) dr \\
&\quad - \int_0^t \left(\int_0^t \tfrac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} e^{\frac{Q_u - Q_r}{Q_\varepsilon}} \varphi\left(Y_u\right) \mathbb{1}_{[0,r]}\left(u\right) dQ_u\right) dr \\
&= \varphi\left(Y_0\right) \int_t^s e^{\frac{-Q_r}{Q_\varepsilon}} dr + \int_0^s \left(\varphi\left(Y_u\right) \int_0^s \tfrac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} e^{\frac{Q_u - Q_r}{Q_\varepsilon}} \mathbb{1}_{[u,s]}\left(r\right) dr\right) dQ_u \\
&\quad - \int_0^t \left(\varphi\left(Y_u\right) \int_0^t \tfrac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} e^{\frac{Q_u - Q_r}{Q_\varepsilon}} \mathbb{1}_{[u,t]}\left(r\right) dr\right) dQ_u\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_t^s \psi\left(M_r^\varepsilon\right) \left(1 - \alpha_r\right) dQ_r \leq \int_t^s e^{\frac{-Q_r}{Q_\varepsilon}} \psi\left(Y_0\right) dA_r + \int_t^s \left(\int_0^r \tfrac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} e^{\frac{Q_u - Q_r}{Q_\varepsilon}} \psi\left(Y_u\right) dQ_u\right) dA_r \\
&= \psi\left(Y_0\right) \int_t^s e^{\frac{-Q_r}{Q_\varepsilon}} dA_r + \int_0^s \left(\int_0^r \tfrac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} e^{\frac{Q_u - Q_r}{Q_\varepsilon}} \psi\left(Y_u\right) dQ_u\right) dA_r \\
&\quad - \int_0^t \left(\int_0^r \tfrac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} e^{\frac{Q_u - Q_r}{Q_\varepsilon}} \psi\left(Y_u\right) dQ_u\right) dA_r \\
&= \psi\left(Y_0\right) \int_t^s e^{\frac{-Q_r}{Q_\varepsilon}} dA_r + \int_0^s \left(\psi\left(Y_u\right) \int_0^s \tfrac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} e^{\frac{Q_u - Q_r}{Q_\varepsilon}} \mathbb{1}_{[u,s]}\left(r\right) dA_r\right) dQ_u \\
&\quad - \int_0^t \left(\psi\left(Y_u\right) \int_0^t \tfrac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} e^{\frac{Q_u - Q_r}{Q_\varepsilon}} \mathbb{1}_{[u,t]}\left(r\right) dA_r\right) dQ_u\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

On the other hand, using Remark 11 and Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we conclude that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_u^s \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} e^{\frac{Q_u - Q_r}{Q_\varepsilon}} \alpha_r dQ_r = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_u^t \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} e^{\frac{Q_u - Q_r}{Q_\varepsilon}} \alpha_r dQ_r = \alpha_u,\ \text{a.e.} \tag{65}$$

and respectively,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_u^s \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} e^{\frac{Q_u - Q_r}{Q_\varepsilon}} \left(1 - \alpha_r\right) dQ_r = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_u^t \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} e^{\frac{Q_u - Q_r}{Q_\varepsilon}} \left(1 - \alpha_r\right) dQ_r = \alpha_u,\ \text{a.e.} \tag{66}$$

From the inequalities (63-64) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_t^s \Psi\left(r, Y_r\right) dQ_r \leq \int_t^s \Psi\left(r, M_r^{\varepsilon}\right) dQ_r \\
&\leq \varphi\left(Y_0\right) Q_{\varepsilon} e^{\frac{-Q_t}{Q_{\varepsilon}}} \int_t^s \tfrac{1}{Q_{\varepsilon}} e^{\frac{Q_t-Q_r}{Q_{\varepsilon}}} \alpha_r dQ_r + \psi\left(Y_0\right) Q_{\varepsilon} e^{\frac{-Q_t}{Q_{\varepsilon}}} \int_t^s \tfrac{1}{Q_{\varepsilon}} e^{\frac{Q_t-Q_r}{Q_{\varepsilon}}}\left(1-\alpha_r\right) dQ_r \\
&\quad + \int_0^s \left(\varphi\left(Y_u\right) \int_u^s \tfrac{1}{Q_{\varepsilon}} e^{\frac{Q_u-Q_r}{Q_{\varepsilon}}} dr + \psi\left(Y_u\right) \int_u^s \tfrac{1}{Q_{\varepsilon}} e^{\frac{Q_u-Q_r}{Q_{\varepsilon}}} dA_r\right) dQ_u \\
&\quad - \int_0^t \left(\varphi\left(Y_u\right) \int_u^t \tfrac{1}{Q_{\varepsilon}} e^{\frac{Q_u-Q_r}{Q_{\varepsilon}}} dr + \psi\left(Y_u\right) \int_u^t \tfrac{1}{Q_{\varepsilon}} e^{\frac{Q_u-Q_r}{Q_{\varepsilon}}} dA_r\right) dQ_u,
\end{aligned}$$

and applying the limits (65-66), we deduce

$$\int_t^s \Psi\left(r, M_r^{\varepsilon}\right) dQ_r \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_t^s \Big(\varphi\left(Y_u\right) \alpha_u + \psi\left(Y_u\right)\left(1-\alpha_u\right)\Big) dQ_u = \int_t^s \Psi\left(u, Y_u\right) dQ_u\,. \tag{67}$$

Therefore (57) follows immediately, since we have (62) and (67).

Now returning to the inequality (56),

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|Y_t^1-Y_t^2\right|^2+\int_t^s\left|Z_r^1-Z_r^2\right|^2 dr \leq 2 \int_t^s \langle Y_r^1-Y_r^2, \Phi\left(r, Y_r^1, Z_r^1\right)-\Phi\left(r, Y_r^2, Z_r^2\right)\rangle dQ_r \\
+\left|Y_s^1-Y_s^2\right|^2-2 \int_t^s \langle Y_r^1-Y_r^2,\left(Z_r^1-Z_r^2\right) dW_r\rangle,\ \forall\, 0 \leq t \leq s.
\end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

From (12)

$$\langle Y_r^1-Y_r^2, \Phi\left(r, Y_r^1, Z_r^1\right)-\Phi\left(r, Y_r^2, Z_r^2\right)\rangle dQ_r \leq |Y_r^1-Y_r^2|^2 d\tilde{V}_r+\frac{1}{2a}|Z_r-Z_r^2|^2 dr$$

and therefore inequality (68) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|Y_t^1-Y_t^2\right|^2+(1-1/a) \int_t^s\left|Z_r^1-Z_r^2\right|^2 dr \leq\left|Y_s^1-Y_s^2\right|^2+2 \int_t^s\left|Y_r^1-Y_r^2\right|^2 d\tilde{V}_r \\
-2 \int_t^s \langle Y_r^1-Y_r^2,\left(Z_r^1-Z_r^2\right) dW_r\rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

Applying a Gronwall's type stochastic inequality (see Lemma 12 from the Appendix of [11]) we conclude that, for all $0 \leq t \leq s$, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.

$$e^{2\tilde{V}_t}\left|Y_t^1-Y_t^2\right|^2 \leq e^{2\tilde{V}_s}\left|Y_s^1-Y_s^2\right|^2-2 \int_t^s e^{2\tilde{V}_r}\langle Y_r^1-Y_r^2,\left(Z_r^1-Z_r^2\right) dW_r\rangle.$$

Therefore, using also the condition $(52-iii)$ form the definition of weak variational solution, the uniqueness follows. ■

5 Examples

Let $\mathcal{D}\subset\mathbb{R}^{d}$ be an open bounded subset with boundary $Bd\left(\mathcal{D}\right)$ sufficiently smooth. In what follows $H^{m}\left(\mathcal{D}\right)$ and $H_{0}^{m}\left(\mathcal{D}\right)$ stand for the usual Sobolev spaces. Let $\left(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{t\geq0},\mathbb{P}\right)$ be a complete probability space, $\left\{W_{s}:0\leq s\leq t\right\}$ a real Wiener process and set $H=H_{1}:=L^{2}\left(\mathcal{D}\right)$. We notice that the space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators from $L^{2}\left(\mathcal{D}\right)$ to $L^{2}\left(\mathcal{D}\right)$) can be identified with $L^{2}\left(\mathcal{D}\times\mathcal{D}\right)$.

Let $j:\mathbb{R}\rightarrow\left(\infty,\infty\right]$ be a proper convex l.s.c. function, for which we assume that $j\left(u\right)\geq j\left(0\right)=0$, $\forall u\in\mathbb{R}$.

Our aim is to obtain, via Theorem 7, the existence and uniqueness of the solution for some backward stochastic partial differential equations (SPDE) suggested in [16]. We recall the assumptions ($\mathrm{A}_{1}-\mathrm{A}_{5}$), ($\mathrm{A}_{8}$-19), the condition

$$\mathbb{E}\left(Q_{T}^{p}\right)<\infty,\ \forall T>0,$$

and definitions (9) and (10) from Section 2.2.

Example 12 *First we consider the backward SPDE with Dirichlet boundary condition*

$$\begin{cases} -dY\left(t,x\right)+\partial j\left(Y\left(t,x\right)\right)dQ_{t}\ni\Delta Y\left(t,x\right)dQ_{t}+\Phi\left(t,Y\left(t,x\right),Z\left(t,x\right)\right)dQ_{t} \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad -Z\left(t,x\right)dW_{t},\ \textit{in}\ \Omega\times\left[0,\tau\right]\times\mathcal{D}, \\ Y\left(\omega,t,x\right)=0\ \textit{on}\ \Omega\times\left[0,\tau\right]\times Bd\left(\mathcal{D}\right), \\ e^{2V_{T}}\left\Vert Y\left(T\right)-\xi_{T}\right\Vert^{2}+\displaystyle\int_{T}^{\infty}e^{2V_{s}}\left\Vert Z\left(s\right)-\zeta_{s}\right\Vert^{2}ds\ \ \xrightarrow[T\rightarrow\infty]{prob.}0, \end{cases}\tag{70}$$

where $\left\Vert f\right\Vert^{2}:=\int_{\mathcal{D}}\left\vert f\left(x\right)\right\vert^{2}dx$.

Let us apply Theorem 7, with $\Psi=\varphi=\psi$ (in which case the compatibility assumptions (16) are satisfied), where $\varphi:L^{2}\left(\mathcal{D}\right)\rightarrow\left(-\infty,\infty\right]$ is given by

$$\varphi\left(u\right)=\begin{cases}\dfrac{1}{2}\displaystyle\int_{\mathcal{D}}\left\vert\nabla u\left(x\right)\right\vert^{2}dx+\int_{\mathcal{D}}j\left(u\left(x\right)\right)dx,\ \text{if } u\in H_{0}^{1}\left(\mathcal{D}\right),\ j\left(u\right)\in L^{1}\left(\mathcal{D}\right), \\ +\infty,\ \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Proposition 2.8 from [1], Chap. II, shows that the following properties hold:

(a) *function* φ *is proper, convex and l.s.c.,*
(b) $\partial\varphi\left(u\right)=\left\{u^{\ast}\in L^{2}\left(\mathcal{D}\right):u^{\ast}\left(x\right)\in\partial j\left(u\left(x\right)\right)-\Delta u\left(x\right)\ \textit{a.e. on}\ \mathcal{D}\right\}$, $\forall u\in\mathrm{Dom}\left(\partial\varphi\right)$,
(c) $\mathrm{Dom}\left(\partial\varphi\right)=\left\{u\in H_{0}^{1}\left(\mathcal{D}\right)\cap H^{2}\left(\mathcal{D}\right):u\left(x\right)\in\mathrm{Dom}\left(\partial j\right)\ \textit{a.e. on}\ \mathcal{D}\right\}$.

Moreover, there exists a positive constant C *such that*

$$(d)\quad\left\Vert u\right\Vert_{H_{0}^{1}\left(\mathcal{D}\right)\cap H^{2}\left(\mathcal{D}\right)}\leq C\left\Vert u^{\ast}\right\Vert_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{D}\right)},\ \forall\left(u,u^{\ast}\right)\in\partial\varphi.$$

Let η be a $H_{0}^{1}\left(\mathcal{D}\right)$-valued random variable, $\mathcal{F}_{\tau}$-measurable such that (A_{9}) is satisfied and

$$\mathbb{E}\big[e^{p\sup_{s\in\left[0,\tau\right]}\tilde{V}_{s}}\left\vert\eta\right\vert^{p}\big]<\infty,\quad e^{2\sup_{s\in\left[0,\tau\right]}\tilde{V}_{s}}j\left(\eta\right)\in L^{1}\left(\Omega\times\mathcal{D}\right),$$

and the stochastic processes ξ, ζ, associated to η by the martingale representation theorem, such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^\tau e^{2\tilde{V}_s}\varphi\left(\xi_s\right)dQ_s\right)^{p/2} + \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^\tau e^{\tilde{V}_s}\left|\Phi\left(s,\xi_s,\zeta_s\right)\right|dQ_s\right)^p < \infty, \tag{71}$$

where $\tilde{V}$ is defined by (17).

Applying now Theorem 7, we deduce that, under the above assumptions, backward SPDE (70) has a unique solution $(Y,Z,U) \in \mathcal{S}^0_{L^2(\mathcal{D})} \times \Lambda^0_{L^2(\mathcal{D}\times\mathcal{D})} \times \Lambda^0_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}$ such that $(Y(t), Z(t)) = (\xi_t, \zeta_t) = (\eta, 0)$, for $t \geq \tau$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
(i)\quad & Y(t,x) + \int_t^T U(s,x)\,dQ_s = Y(T,x) + \int_t^T \Delta Y(s,x)\,dQ_s \\
& \qquad + \int_t^T \Phi\left(s, Y(s,x), Z(s,x)\right)dQ_s - \int_t^T Z(s,x)\,dW_s\,, \text{ in } [0,T]\times\mathcal{D}, \text{ a.s. },
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(ii)\quad & Y(t) \in H_0^1(\mathcal{D}) \cap H^2(\mathcal{D})\,,\ d\mathbb{P}\times dt \text{ a.e.,} \\
(iii)\quad & Y(t,x) \in \mathrm{Dom}(\partial j)\,,\ d\mathbb{P}\times dQ_t \times dx \text{ a.e.,} \\
(iv)\quad & U(t,x) \in \partial j\left(Y(t,x)\right),\ d\mathbb{P}\times dQ_t\times dx \text{ a.e.,} \\
(v)\quad & e^{2\tilde{V}}Y \in L^\infty\left(0,T;L^2\left(\Omega;H_0^1(\mathcal{D})\right)\right) \text{ and } e^{2\tilde{V}}j(Y) \in L^\infty\left(0,T;L^1(\Omega\times\mathcal{D})\right), \text{ for all } T>0, \\
(vi)\quad & \mathbb{E}\int_0^\tau e^{2\tilde{V}_s}\left\|Y(s)\right\|^2_{H_0^1(\mathcal{D})\cap H^2(\mathcal{D})}\,dQ_s < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 13 *If we renounce the Assumption* (A_9)*, then we obtain that the backward SPDE (70) admits a variational weak solution. More precisely, Theorem 9 shows that there exists a unique solution* $(Y,Z) \in \mathcal{S}^0_{L^2(\mathcal{D})} \times \Lambda^0_{L^2(\mathcal{D}\times\mathcal{D})}$ *such that* $(Y(t), Z(t)) = (\xi_t, \zeta_t) = (\eta, 0)$*, for* $t \geq \tau$*, and for all* $0 \leq t \leq s$

$$\begin{aligned}
(i)\quad & \frac{1}{2}\left\|M(t)-Y(t)\right\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_t^s \left|R(r)-Z(r)\right|^2 dr + \int_t^s\!\!\int_{\mathcal{D}} j\left(Y(r,x)\right)dx\,dQ_r \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|M(s)-Y(s)\right\|^2 + \int_t^s\!\!\int_{\mathcal{D}} j\left(M(r,x)\right)dx\,dQ_r \\
& \quad + \int_t^s \langle\!\langle M(r)-Y(r), N(r)-\Delta Y(r)-\Phi\left(r,Y(r),Z(r)\right)\rangle\!\rangle dQ_r \\
& \quad - \int_t^s \langle\!\langle M(r)-Y(r), \left(R(r)-Z(r)\right)dW_r\rangle\!\rangle\,, \\
& \qquad\qquad \forall\,(N,R) \in L^2\left(\Omega\times[0,\infty);L^2(\mathcal{D})\right)\times\Lambda^2_{L^2(\mathcal{D}\times\mathcal{D})},\ \forall\, M\in\mathcal{M},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(ii)\quad & Y(t) \in H_0^1(\mathcal{D})\,,\ d\mathbb{P}\times dt \text{ a.e.,} \\
(iii)\quad & Y(t,x) \in \mathrm{Dom}(j)\,,\ d\mathbb{P}\times dQ_t\times dx \text{ a.e.,}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{M}$ *is defined at the beginning of the Section 4 and*

$$\|f\|^2 := \int_{\mathcal{D}} |f(x)|^2\,dx \quad \text{and} \quad \langle\!\langle f,g\rangle\!\rangle := \int_{\mathcal{D}} f(x)\,g(x)\,dx.$$

.

Example 14 *As a second example we consider the backward SPDE with Neumann boundary condition*

$$\begin{cases} -dY\left(t,x\right)=\Delta Y\left(t,x\right)dQ_t+\Phi\left(t,Y\left(t,x\right),Z\left(t,x\right)\right)dQ_t-Z_tdW_t, \text{ in } \Omega\times[0,\tau]\times\mathcal{D}, \\ -\dfrac{\partial Y\left(\omega,t,x\right)}{\partial n}\in\partial j\left(Y\left(\omega,t,x\right)\right), \text{ on } \Omega\times[0,\tau]\times Bd\left(\mathcal{D}\right), \\ e^{2V_T}\left\|Y\left(T\right)-\xi_T\right\|^2+\displaystyle\int_T^\infty e^{2V_s}\left\|Z\left(s\right)-\zeta_s\right\|^2ds\ \xrightarrow[T\to\infty]{prob.}0. \end{cases} \tag{72}$$

We apply again Theorem 7, with $\Psi=\varphi=\psi$, where $\varphi:L^2\left(\mathcal{D}\right)\to(-\infty,\infty]$ is given by

$$\varphi\left(u\right)=\begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{2}\displaystyle\int_{\mathcal{D}}\left|\nabla u\left(x\right)\right|^2dx+\int_{Bd(\mathcal{D})}j\left(u\left(x\right)\right)dx, \text{if } u\in H^1\left(\mathcal{D}\right), \ k\left(u\right)\in L^1\left(Bd\left(\mathcal{D}\right)\right), \\ \\ +\infty, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proposition 2.9 from [1], Chap. II, shows that:

(a) *function* φ *is proper, convex and l.s.c.,*

(b) $\partial\varphi\left(u\right)=-\Delta u\left(x\right), \ \forall u\in\operatorname{Dom}\left(\partial\varphi\right),$

(c) $\operatorname{Dom}\left(\partial\varphi\right)=\{u\in H^2\left(\mathcal{D}\right):-\dfrac{\partial u\left(x\right)}{\partial n}\in\partial j\left(u\left(x\right)\right) \text{ a.e. on } Bd\left(\mathcal{D}\right)\},$

where $\dfrac{\partial u}{\partial n}$ *is the outward normal derivative to the boundary.*

Moreover, there are some positive constants C_1, C_2 *such that*

$$(d)\quad \left\|u\right\|_{H^2(\mathcal{D})}\leq C_1\left\|u-\Delta u\right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}+C_2, \ \forall u\in\operatorname{Dom}\left(\partial\varphi\right).$$

Let η be a $H^1\left(\mathcal{D}\right)$-valued random variable, $\mathcal{F}_\tau$-measurable such that (A_9) is satisfied and

$$\mathbb{E}\big[e^{p\sup_{s\in[0,\tau]}\tilde{V}_s}\left|\eta\right|^p\big]<\infty,\quad e^{2\sup_{s\in[0,\tau]}\tilde{V}_s}j\left(\eta\right)\in L^1\left(\Omega\times Bd\left(\mathcal{D}\right)\right),$$

and the stochastic processes ξ and ζ (from the martingale representation theorem) be such that (71) holds.

Applying Theorem 7 we conclude that, under the above assumptions, backward SPDE (72) has a unique solution $(Y,Z)\in\mathcal{S}^0_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}\times\Lambda^0_{L^2(\mathcal{D}\times\mathcal{D})}$ such that $\left(Y\left(t\right),Z\left(t\right)\right)=\left(\xi_t,\zeta_t\right)=$

$(\eta, 0)$, for $t \geq \tau$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
(i) \quad & Y(t,x) = Y(T,x) + \int_t^T \Delta Y(s,x)\, dQ_s + \int_t^T \Phi(s, Y(s,x), Z(s,x))\, dQ_s \\
& \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad - \int_t^T Z(s,x)\, dW_s, \text{ in } [0,T] \times \mathcal{D}, \text{ a.s.}, \\
(ii) \quad & Y(t) \in H^2(\mathcal{D}), \ d\mathbb{P} \times dt \text{ a.e.}, \\
(iii) \quad & -\frac{\partial Y(t,x)}{\partial n} \in \mathrm{Dom}(\partial j), \ d\mathbb{P} \times dQ_t \times dx \text{ a.e.}, \\
(iv) \quad & e^{2\tilde{V}} Y \in L^\infty\left(0,T; L^2\left(\Omega; H^1(\mathcal{D})\right)\right) \quad \text{and} \quad e^{2\tilde{V}} j(Y) \in L^\infty\left(0,T; L^1(\Omega \times Bd(\mathcal{D}))\right), \\
(v) \quad & \mathbb{E}\int_0^\tau e^{2\tilde{V}_s} \|Y(s)\|^2_{H^2(\mathcal{D})}\, dQ_s < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Example 15 *The third example is the backward stochastic porous media equation*

$$\begin{cases}
-dY(t,x) = \Delta(\partial j)(Y(t,x))\, dQ_t + \Phi(t, Y(t,x), Z(t,x))\, dQ_t - Z(t,x)\, dW_t, \\
\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad in\ \Omega \times [0,\tau] \times \mathcal{D}, \\
\partial j(Y(\omega,t,x)) \ni 0 \ on\ \Omega \times [0,\tau] \times Bd(\mathcal{D}), \\
e^{2V_T}\|Y(T) - \xi_T\|^2 + \int_T^\infty e^{2V_s}\|Z(s) - \zeta_s\|^2 ds \ \xrightarrow[T\to\infty]{prob.} 0.
\end{cases} \tag{73}$$

In Theorem 7, let $H = H^{-1}(\mathcal{D})$ (the dual of $H_0^1(\mathcal{D})$), $H_1 = \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\Psi = \varphi = \psi$, where $\varphi : H^{-1}(\mathcal{D}) \to (-\infty, \infty]$ is given by

$$\varphi(u) = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\int_{\mathcal{D}} j(u(x))\, dx, \text{ if } u \in L^1(\mathcal{D}), \ j(u) \in L^1(\mathcal{D}), \\ +\infty, \ \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

and $j : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is suppose, moreover, to be continuous with $\lim\limits_{r\to\infty} j(r)/r = \infty$.

Proposition 2.10 from [1], Chap. II, shows that:

(a) *function* φ *is proper, convex and l.s.c.,*

$$\begin{aligned}
(b) \quad & \partial\varphi(u) = \{u^* \in H^{-1}(\mathcal{D}) : u^*(x) = -\Delta v(u(x)), \ v \in H_0^1(\mathcal{D}), \\
& \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad v(x) \in \partial j(u(x)) \ \ a.e. \ on\ \mathcal{D}\}, \ \forall u \in \mathrm{Dom}(\partial\varphi), \\
(c) \quad & \mathrm{Dom}(\partial\varphi) = \left\{u \in H^{-1}(\mathcal{D}) \cap L^1(\mathcal{D}) : u(x) \in \mathrm{Dom}(\partial j) \ \ a.e. \ on\ \mathcal{D}\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let η be a $H^{-1}(\mathcal{D})$-valued random variable, $\mathcal{F}_\tau$-measurable such that (A$_9$) is satisfied and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{p \sup_{s\in[0,\tau]} \tilde{V}_s} |\eta|^p\right] < \infty, \quad \eta \in L^1(\Omega \times \mathcal{D}), \quad e^{2\sup_{s\in[0,\tau]} \tilde{V}_s} j(\eta) \in L^1(\Omega \times \mathcal{D}),$$

and the stochastic processes ξ and ζ (from the martingale representation theorem) be such that (71) holds.

From the Theorem 7 it follows that, under the above assumptions, backward SPDE (70) has a unique solution $(Y,Z)\in\mathcal{S}^0_{H^{-1}(\mathcal{D})}\times\Lambda^0_{(H^{-1}(\mathcal{D}))^d}$ such that $(Y(t),Z(t))=(\xi_t,\zeta_t)=(\eta,0)$, for $t\geq\tau$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
(i)\quad & Y(t,x)+\int_t^T\Delta U(s,x)\,dQ_s=Y(T,x)+\int_t^T\Phi(s,Y(s,x),Z(s,x))\,dQ_s\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad -\int_t^T Z(s,x)\,dW_s \text{ in } [0,T]\times\mathcal{D}, \text{ a.s. },\\
(ii)\quad & Y(t,x)\in\operatorname{Dom}(\partial j)\,,\ d\mathbb{P}\times dt\times dx \text{ a.e.},\\
(iii)\quad & U(t,x)\in\partial j(Y(t,x))\,,\ d\mathbb{P}\times dt\times dx \text{ a.e.},\\
(iv)\quad & e^{2\tilde{V}}j(Y)\in L^\infty\left(0,T;L^1(\Omega\times\mathcal{D})\right),\ \text{for all } T>0,\\
(v)\quad & \mathbb{E}\int_0^\tau e^{2\tilde{V}_s}\|U(s)\|^2_{H_0^1(\mathcal{D})}\,dQ_s<\infty\,.
\end{aligned}$$

6 Appendix

In this section we first present some useful and general estimates on $(Y,Z)\in\mathcal{S}^0[0,T]\times\Lambda^0(0,T)$ satisfying an identity of type

$$Y_t=Y_T+\int_t^T dK_s-\int_t^T Z_s dW_s,\ t\in[0,T]\,,\ \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.},$$

where $K\in\mathcal{S}^0[0,T]$ and $t\longmapsto K_t(\omega)$ is a bounded variation function, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.

The following results and their proofs are given in monograph E. Pardoux, A. Răşcanu [17], Annex C (a forthcoming book).

Assume there exist:

◇ three progressively measurable increasing continuous stochastic processes D,R,N such that $D_0=R_0=N_0=0$,
◇ a progressively measurable bounded variation continuous stochastic process V with $V_0=0$,
◇ some constants $a,p>1$,

such that, as signed measures on $[0,T]$:

$$dD_t+\langle Y_t,dK_t\rangle\leq\left(\mathbb{1}_{p\geq2}dR_t+|Y_t|dN_t+|Y_t|^2dV_t\right)+\frac{n_p}{2a}\|Z_t\|^2\,dt, \tag{74}$$

where $n_p=(p-1)\wedge1$.

Let $\left\|Ye^V\right\|_{[t,T]}:=\sup_{s\in[t,T]}\left|Y_se^{V_s}\right|$.

Proposition 16 *Assume (74) and that*

$$\mathbb{E}\left\|Ye^V\right\|^p_{[0,T]}+\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T e^{2V_s}\mathbb{1}_{p\geq2}dR_s\right)^{p/2}+\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T e^{V_s}dN_s\right)^p<\infty. \tag{75}$$

Then there exists a positive constant $C = C(a,p)$ such that, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., for all $t \in [0,T]$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t}\left[\sup\nolimits_{s\in[t,T]} \left|e^{V_s}Y_s\right|^p + \left(\int_t^T e^{2V_s} dD_s\right)^{p/2} + \left(\int_t^T e^{2V_s} \|Z_s\|^2 ds\right)^{p/2}\right] \\
&+\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t}\left[\int_t^T e^{pV_s} |Y_s|^{p-2} \mathbb{1}_{Y_s\neq 0} dD_s + \int_t^T e^{pV_s} |Y_s|^{p-2} \mathbb{1}_{Y_s\neq 0} \|Z_s\|^2 ds\right] \qquad (76)\\
&\leq C\, \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t}\left[\left|e^{V_T}Y_T\right|^p + \left(\int_t^T e^{2V_s}\mathbb{1}_{p\geq 2} dR_s\right)^{p/2} + \left(\int_t^T e^{V_s} dN_s\right)^{p}\right].
\end{aligned}$$

In particular for all $t \in [0,T]$:

$$|Y_t|^p \leq C\, \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t}\Big[\big(|Y_T|^p + \mathbb{1}_{p\geq 2} R_T^p + N_T^p\big)\, e^{p\|(V_\cdot - V_t)^+\|_{[t,T]}}\Big],\ \mathbb{P}\text{-}a.s.$$

As a simple consequence we can deduce, from the above Proposition, an estimate for the stochastic processes (ξ,ζ) associated to η as in Proposition 2:

Corollary 17 *Let $(V_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a bounded variation and continuous p.m.s.p. with $V_0 = 0$, $\eta : \Omega \to H$ a random variable such that $\mathbb{E}\big(e^{p\sup_{r\in[0,T]} V_r} |\eta|^p\big) < \infty$, and $(\xi,\zeta) \in \mathcal{S}^0 \times \Lambda^0(0,\infty)$ the unique solution of the following equation (see the martingale representation formula (5)):*

$$\xi_s = \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_T}\eta - \int_s^T \zeta_r dW_r,\ s \in [0,T],\ a.s.$$

Therefore, there exists $C = C(p) > 0$ such that for all $t \in [0,T]$,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \sup\nolimits_{s\in[t,T]} e^{pV_s} |\xi_s|^p + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t}\left(\int_t^T e^{2V_s} |\zeta_s|^2 ds\right)^{p/2} \leq C\, \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t}\big(e^{p\sup_{r\in[t,T]} V_r} |\eta|^p\big). \tag{77}$$

Proof. We see at once that the stochastic pair (ξ,ζ) satisfy the equation

$$\xi_s = \xi_T - \int_s^T \zeta_r dW_r,\ s \in [0,T],\ a.s. \tag{78}$$

For any fixed $t \in [0,T]$ let $\big(\bar{V}_s^t\big)_{s\in[0,T]}$ be the increasing continuous p.m.s.p. defined by $\bar{V}_s^t = V_t,\ s < t$, and $\bar{V}_s^t = \sup_{r\in[t,s]} V_r,\ s \geq t$. Applying Jensen's inequality and Proposition 16 for (ξ,ζ) (which satisfies (78) and an inequality of type (74), with $K = 0$ and $R = N = 0$), we deduce that for all $p > 1$, there exists $C = C(p) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \sup\nolimits_{s\in[t,T]} e^{pV_s} |\xi_s|^p + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t}\Big(\int_t^T e^{2V_s} |\zeta_s|^2 ds\Big)^{p/2} \\
&\qquad \leq \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \sup\nolimits_{s\in[t,T]} e^{p\bar{V}_s^t} |\xi_s|^p + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t}\Big(\int_t^T e^{2\bar{V}_s^t} |\zeta_s|^2 ds\Big)^{p/2} \\
&\qquad \leq C\, \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t}\big(e^{p\bar{V}_T^t} |\xi_T|^p\big) \leq C\, \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t}\big(e^{p\sup_{r\in[t,T]} V_r} |\eta|^p\big).
\end{aligned}$$

■

Let us now discuss the existence and uniqueness of a solution for the backward stochastic equation of the form

$$Y_t = \eta + \int_t^T \Phi\left(s, Y_s, Z_s\right) dQ_s - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s,\ a.s.,\ \forall\, t \in [0,T]\,. \tag{79}$$

We will need the following basic assumptions:

(A_3') *the process* $\{Q_t : t \geq 0\}$ *is a progressively measurable increasing continuous stochastic process such that* $Q_0 = 0$, *and* $\{\alpha_t : t \geq 0\}$ *is a real positive p.m.s.p. (given by Radon-Nikodym's representation theorem) such that* $\alpha \in [0,1]$ *and*

$$dt = \alpha_t dQ_t\,;$$

(A_4') *the function* $\Phi : \Omega \times [0,\infty) \times H \times L_2\left(H_1, H\right) \to H$ *is such that*

$$\begin{cases} \Phi\left(\cdot,\cdot,y,z\right) \ \textit{is p.m.s.p.},\ \forall\ (y,z) \in H \times L_2\left(H_1,H\right)\,, \\ \Phi\left(\omega,t,\cdot,\cdot\right) \ \textit{is continuous function},\ d\mathbb{P}\otimes dt\textit{-a.e.}\,, \end{cases}$$

and $\mathbb{P}$*-a.s.,*

$$\int_0^T \Phi_\rho^{\#}\left(s\right) dQ_s < \infty, \quad \forall\, \rho \geq 0,$$

where

$$\Phi_\rho^{\#}\left(\omega,s\right) := \sup\nolimits_{|u|\leq\rho} \left|\Phi\left(\omega,s,u,0\right)\right|;$$

(A_5') *there exist a p.m.s.p.* $\mu : \Omega \times [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ *and a function* $\ell : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ *such that*

$$\int_0^T |\mu_t| dQ_t + \int_0^T \ell^2\left(t\right) dt < \infty, \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

and, for all $y, y' \in H$, $z, z' \in L_2\left(H_1,H\right)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle y'-y, \Phi(t,y',z) - \Phi(t,y,z)\rangle \leq \mu_t\ |y'-y|^2\,, \\ &|\Phi(t,y,z') - \Phi(t,y,z)| \leq \ell\left(t\right)\alpha_t\ |z'-z|\,. \end{aligned}$$

Let $a > 1$ and

$$V_t = \int_0^t \big(\mu_s + \frac{a}{2n_p}\,\ell^2\left(s\right)\alpha_s\big) dQ_s,$$

where $n_p = 1 \wedge (p-1)\,.$

Proposition 18 *Let* $p > 1$ *and* $\eta : \Omega \to H$ *be a random variable measurable with respect to* $\sigma\left(\{\mathcal{F}_t : t \geq 0\}\right)$*. Under the hypotheses* (A_3'*–*A_5')*, if moreover,*

$$\mathbb{E}\left(e^{pV_T}\left|\eta\right|^p\right) + \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T \sup\nolimits_{|y|\leq\rho} \left|e^{V_t}\Phi\left(t, e^{-V_t}y, 0\right) - \mu_s y\right| dQ_s\right)^p < \infty,\ \textit{for all}\ \rho \geq 0, \tag{80}$$

there exists a unique pair $(Y_t, Z_t)_{t\geq 0} \in \mathcal{S}^0 \times \Lambda^0$ *solution of the BSDE (79) in the sense that*

$$\begin{aligned} &(j) \quad Y_t = \eta + \int_t^T \Phi\left(s, Y_s, Z_s\right) dQ_s - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s,\ a.s.,\ \forall t \in [0,T]\,, \\ &(jj) \quad \mathbb{E}\sup\nolimits_{t\in[0,T]} e^{pV_t} \left|Y_t\right|^p + \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T e^{2V_s}\left|Z_s\right|^2 ds\right)^{p/2} < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

Remark 19 *If* $(V_t)_{t\geq 0}$ *is a deterministic process then the assumption (80) is equivalent to*

$$\mathbb{E}\left(|\eta|^p\right) + \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T \Phi_\rho^{\#}(s) dQ_s\right)^p < \infty. \tag{82}$$

References

[1] V. Barbu, *Nonlinear Differential Equations of Monotone Types in Banach spaces*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2010.

[2] H. Brézis, *Opérateurs maximaux monotones et semigroupes de contractions dans les espaces de Hilbert*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.

[3] Ph. Briand, B. Delyon, Y. Hu, E. Pardoux, L. Stoica, *L^p solutions of backward stochastic differential equations*, Stochastic Process. Appl. 108 (2003), 109-129.

[4] J. Cvitanic, I. Karatzas, *Backward stochastic differential equations with reflection and Dynkin games*, Ann. Probab. 24 (1996), 2024-2056.

[5] G. Da Prato, J. Zabczyk, *Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions*, Cambridge University Press, Great Britain, 1992.

[6] R.W.R. Darling, E. Pardoux, *Backward SDE with random terminal time and applications to semilinear elliptic PDE*, Ann. Probab. 25 (1997) 1135-11593.

[7] N. El Karoui, C. Kapoudjian, E. Pardoux, S. Peng, M.C. Quenez, *Reflected solutions of backward SDE's and related obstacle problems for PDE's*, Ann. Probab. 25 (1997) 702-737.

[8] M. Fuhrman, G. Tessitore, *Infinite horizon backward stochastic differential equations and elliptic equations in Hilbert spaces*, Ann. Probab. 32 (1B) (2004), 607–660.

[9] M. Fuhrman, G. Tessitore, *Nonlinear Kolmogorov equations in infinite dimensional spaces: the backward stochastic differential equations approach and applications to optimal control*, Ann. Probab. 30 (3) (2002), 1397-1465.

[10] L. Maticiuc, A. Răşcanu, *A stochastic approach to a multivalued Dirichlet-Neumann problem*, Stochastic Process. Appl. 120 (2010), 777-800.

[11] L. Maticiuc, A. Răşcanu, *Viability of moving sets for a nonlinear Neumann problem*, Nonlinear Anal. 66 (2007), 1587–1599.

[12] E. Pardoux, *BSDEs, weak convergence and homogenization of semilinear PDEs*, Nonlinear Analysis, Differential Equations and Control (Montreal, QC, 1998), Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (1999), 503–549.

[13] E. Pardoux, S. Peng, *Adapted solution of a backward stochastic differential equation*, Systems Control Lett. 14 (1990), 55-61.

[14] E. Pardoux, S. Peng, *Backward SDE's and quasilinear parabolic PDE's*, Stochastic PDE and Their Applications (B.L. Rozovskii, R.B. Sowers eds.), LNCIS 176, Springer (1992) 200-217.

[15] E. Pardoux, A. Răşcanu, *Backward stochastic differential equations with subdifferential operator and related variational inequalities*, Stochastic Process. Appl. 76 (1998) 191-215.

[16] E. Pardoux, A. Răşcanu, *Backward stochastic variational inequalities*, Stochastics 67 (3-4) (1999) 159–167.

[17] E. Pardoux, A. Răşcanu, *Stochastic differential equations*, book submitted, 2011.